

Chapter 91

Reframing Diversity in Management: Diversity and Human Resource Management

Ashanti De León

London Metropolitan University, UK

Wilson Ozuem

University of Gloucestershire, UK

Jummy Okoya

University of East London, UK

ABSTRACT

The development of new corporate governance codes calls for boardroom and top management diversity. Nonetheless, a lack of diversity in organisations is a worldwide situation that may vary from one country to another. This suggests that rather than relying upon established economies as a guideline, a more rounded understanding may emerge from studies that also explore a wider range of countries. This chapter examines the varieties of top management diversities, particularly the barriers and challenges women faces in management positions. It considers the grounds for an integrated approach to under-representation of women in upper rank positions, and explicates concerted efforts to improve board room diversity.

INTRODUCTION

Whilst early research on women in top management has focused on the under-representation of women in upper rank levels (Burson-Maersteller, 1977; Kanter, 1977), others have addressed this issue based on the barriers women faced and their different roles in society (Burke, 1994; Burke and Mattis, 2000; Catalyst, 2000). Nonetheless, a body of research carried out to analyse female representation in upper rank levels reveals that lack of equality remains a reality in many countries showing the persistence

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-6912-1.ch091

effect of these invisible barriers (Pomeroy, 2007; Pichler *et al.*, 2008; Morrison & Von Glinow, 1990). Therefore, many researchers have continued to monitor this issue worldwide (Burke and Mattis, 2000; Catalyst, 2012; Davidson & Burke, 2011), and through the foundation of organisations such as Catalyst, statistics and numerical data is frequently presented to monitor the advance of gender diversity amongst large companies. These studies clearly acknowledge that boardrooms remain dominated by men. Regardless, the participation of women has slightly increased over the past 15 years. Women hold 16% of board seats and only 4.2% are appointed as CEO (Catalyst, 2013). Yet, existing data (Vinnicome *et al.*, 2008; Burke, 2000; Davidson & Burke, 2011; Daily *et al.*, 2000; Zelechowski & Bilimoria, 2004; Vinnicome *et al.*, 2000) are focusing on larger economies in developed countries and little has been written about developing countries in this context.

As a result of recent increases in studies on this topic, researchers have shifted their investigative perspective towards the influence of women in the organisation; the differences in leading styles; how these women have been able to shatter the “glass ceiling”; and how the organisation’s idea towards the management capabilities of women have changed over time. (Moore & Buttner, 1997; Kelly & Duerst-Lahti, 1995; Offerman & Beil, 1992; Jamieson, 1995; Rosener, 1995; Eyring & Stead, 1998) Eyring and Stead (1998) conducted a project in an effort to understand and analyse the first organisations that created programmes to increase female participation in senior positions. The participants who successfully managed to integrate women into leading positions stated that their organisations were benefitting from a variety of management skills, and concluded that if an organisation wants to increase female participation, they need to focus on transforming their corporate culture, human resources practices, the development of succession programmes, training and development programmes, and flexible schedule practices. Eyring and Stead’s (1998) findings align with others who agree that the *glass ceiling* is developed within corporate culture, and the only way to shatter it is through a transformation in top management perspectives. If top managers have a closed vision (thinking that only men are capable to leading), a culture of inequality is created and a corporate culture may begin, albeit passively to encourage gender discrimination. Similarly, Tharr-Whelan (2009) argues that all biological differences had in fact become key strengths amongst women and their inherent ‘soft-skills’ allow them to perceive and approach corporate situations differently, combining a people and task oriented culture with risk awareness to contribute to long-term success and competitive advantage. (Helgensen, 1990; Rosener, 1990; Cassell, 1997) However, much like the research based on female underrepresentation in upper rank positions, studies on the influence of women in organisations are limited to developed countries.

Nonetheless, a lack of diversity in organisations is a worldwide situation that may vary from one country to another. This suggests that rather than relying upon established economies as a guideline, a more rounded understanding may emerge from studies that also explore a wider range of countries. In Latin America’s case, Brazil (the seventh largest economy in the world) has provided a predominant focus for gender-diversity research. However, general diversity in boardrooms and executive suites is a worldwide necessity and is accepted by some a mixed group of talented executives working together will enhance the organisation’s competency by harnessing skills across the top positions of the company. This can have a direct influence on the corporation’s performance. Furthermore, in light of the recent financial crisis bankruptcy of organisations like World.com and Enron were attributed to a lack of management in boardrooms and a failure to augment diversity (Arfken, *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, recent changes to corporate governance codes have created an opportunity for women to be seen differently. Lars Thunell,

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/reframing-diversity-in-management/209061

Related Content

Liberty Needs Glasses: A Critical Race Theory Analysis of a Culture of Miseducation in the Intersection of Power, Privilege, and Positionality

Tanishia Lavette Williams (2021). *Challenges to Integrating Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Programs in Organizations* (pp. 62-79).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/liberty-needs-glasses/264606

Feminist Voices From Africa

(2021). *Philosophising Experiences and Vision of the Female Body, Mind, and Soul: Historical Context and Contemporary Theory* (pp. 237-250).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/feminist-voices-from-africa/274564

Analytical and Methodological Considerations for the Use of Social Categories in Identity Research

Saija Benjaminand Arniika Kuusisto (2016). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education* (pp. 13-27).

www.irma-international.org/article/analytical-and-methodological-considerations-for-the-use-of-social-categories-in-identity-research/145336

Doppelganger-Inspired Change Effect Model of Faculty Global Cultural Competency: A Case-Based Study for Preparedness

Papia Bawa (2021). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education* (pp. 15-32).

www.irma-international.org/article/doppelganger-inspired-change-effect-model-of-faculty-global-cultural-competency/282069

Wading Through Water: Black Female Doctors of Color Navigating Academia

Erica Kristina Reid, Monique Matute-Chavarriaand Pamela Gray (2022). *Black Women Navigating Historically White Higher Education Institutions and the Journey Toward Liberation* (pp. 114-135).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/wading-through-water/307818