Chapter 14 Towards a Business-Driven Process Model for Knowledge Security Risk Management: Making Sense of Knowledge Risks

Ilona Ilvonen Tampere University of Technology, Finland

Jari J Jussila Tampere University of Technology, Finland

Hannu Kärkkäinen Tampere University of Technology, Finland

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a model to manage knowledge security risks in organizations. Knowledge security risk management is a sensemaking process that should be carried out by managers, and the proposed model works as a tool for the sensemaking process. The model is illustrated with an analytical case example. The process model helps to identify knowledge security risks and provides a comprehensive approach to evaluating and balancing the costs and benefits of knowledge sharing and knowledge risk management. The paper addresses calls for research on the emerging topic of knowledge security and the important topic of new knowledge sharing tools from the combined perspectives of business benefits and risk management. The results presented in this paper are preliminary and conceptual, and further research on the topic is suggested. The process model proposed in this paper can be a valuable tool for practitioners aiming to develop knowledge sharing practices in companies, and at the same time need to consider the security of knowledge.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5481-3.ch014

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge and its creation are important sources of competitive advantage and business opportunities for most contemporary organizations (Alavi & Leidner, 2001; Choo, 1996; Grant, 1996; Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995). Although knowledge creation, sharing and management have been researched extensively (e.g. Bolisani & Scarso, 2014; Matayong & Mahmood, 2013; Tzortzaki & Mihiotis, 2014), there is one viewpoint to knowledge that has received less attention: knowledge security (Randeree, 2006; Shedden, Scheepers, Smith, & Ahmad, 2011). Despite the importance of knowledge and the need for knowledge protection, there is little literature on knowledge security (Shedden et al. 2010). In terms of knowledge security and risk analysis, most existing risk analysis methods can be regarded as providing a plain technical view on information and technological assets (Ahmad, Bosua, & Scheepers, 2014; A.M. Padyab, Paivarinta, & Harnesk, 2014; Shedden et al., 2011; Shedden, Smith, & Ahmad, 2010; Spears, 2006), ignoring that knowledge is bound to people (Shedden et al., 2010, 2011; Ilvonen, 2013; A.M. Padyab et al., 2014) and as a consequence people (Ilvonen, 2013; Trkman & Desouza, 2012; Shedden et al., 2011, 2010; Spears, 2006; Siponen, 2000; Spruit & Looijen, 1996) and especially their communication (Ilvonen, 2013; Padyab et al., 2014) are significant sources of knowledge security risks.

Since knowledge security risks have not received extensive attention in the existing literature (M. Jennex, 2014), there is need to look also for parallel fields in order to understand the principles of security risk management. Information security risk assessment (ISRA) methodologies are means by which organizations aim to manage information security risks (Baskerville, 1991; Siponen, 2005; Whitman & Mattord, 2011). However, typical perspectives on information security risk management, including most ISRA methodologies, largely ignore the business context of information systems (Shedden et al., 2010; Spremic, 2012), and are not framed in terms of competitive advantage (Ahmad et al., 2014). When the business perspective is considered (DeLoach, 2004; Siponen, 2005; Von Solms & Von Solms, 2004), it is mainly limited to the evaluation of individual risk mitigation techniques and their cost reasoning, rather than starting from a broad perspective of reasoning the business benefits of an activity compared to the risks connected to it.

This paper aims to answer the research question "How can organizations manage knowledge security risks in a proactive business-driven way?" The authors argue that knowledge security risks should be managed in a systematic process, and introduce a conceptually developed process for this purpose. This paper extends the line of research opened up by several authors (Ahmad et al., 2014; Aljafari & Sarnikar, 2009; Desouza & Vanapalli, 2005; A.M. Padyab et al., 2014; Shedden et al., 2011; Siponen & Oinas-Kukkonen, 2007) and answers to calls for research on the specific area of knowledge security.

Several studies point out that increasing the circulation of knowledge also increases the risk of leakage (Desouza, 2006; Desouza & Vanapalli, 2005; Easterby-Smith, Lyles, & Tsang, 2008; Trkman & Desouza, 2012). New forms of organizational operation, such as open innovation and different uses of social media, emphasize opening up of organizational knowledge resources towards customers and other organizational stakeholders. Therefore, when making changes in practices, there is simultaneously a strong need for understanding the potential risks related to open information and knowledge flows, as well as relating these risks to the potential business benefits of the change.

After introducing the theoretical background, the paper introduces the proposed process model and discusses the relation of the process steps to previous work. After this an analytical case that illustrates the outputs of the process model from a practical perspective is presented. The paper concludes with a brief discussion on avenues for further research.

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/towards-a-business-driven-process-model-forknowledge-security-risk-management/202223

Related Content

Capacity Management Business Processes

Victor Portougal (2006). Business Processes: Operational Solutions for SAP Implementation (pp. 194-219).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/capacity-management-business-processes/6095

Computer Self-Efficacy and the Acceptance of Instant Messenger Technology

Thomas Stafford (2007). *E-Business Innovation and Process Management (pp. 307-320).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/computer-self-efficacy-acceptance-instant/8685

Disintermediation: To Determine Decision-Influencing Factors and the Impact on Organisations and Their End-Consumers

Biance Reissand Olusoyi Richard Ashaye (2020). *Trends and Issues in International Planning for Businesses (pp. 67-88).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/disintermediation/257171

Multinational Corporations and Social Responsibility

Barbara Coca Calderón, Josef Naefand Kim Oliver Tokarski (2015). *International Journal of Applied Management Sciences and Engineering (pp. 1-15).* www.irma-international.org/article/multinational-corporations-and-social-responsibility/124060

Building a Document-Oriented Warehouse Using NoSQL

Ines Ben Messaoud, Abdulrahman A. Alshdadiand Jamel Feki (2021). *International Journal of Operations Research and Information Systems (pp. 33-54).*

www.irma-international.org/article/building-a-document-oriented-warehouse-using-nosql/275789