

State of the Art and Key Design Challenges of Telesurgical Robotics

Sajid Nisar

National University of Science and Technology, Pakistan

Osman Hasan

National University of Science and Technology, Pakistan

INTRODUCTION

Telesurgical robotic systems allow surgeons to perform surgical operations from remote locations. It could be the same room where a surgical operation is being performed or a place somewhere else outside. It could be across two different countries or even cross-continental as demonstrated in “Operation Lindbergh, (Jacques Marescaux, 2002)” where surgeons performed laparoscopic cholecystectomy from New York, USA while the patient was at Strasbourg, France. Similarly, a telesurgery service was established in Ontario, Canada, between a teaching hospital and a rural community hospital located over 400 km away in 2003. It has been nearly twenty years since the emergence of telesurgical robotics in the operating room, but it has been only the last five years or so, that the potential of surgical robotics is being recognized by the surgical community as a whole. Now, it can be said with considerable confidence that robotic surgery has demonstrated numerous advantages over conventional surgery and it has revolutionized the operation theater (OT) as well as the surgical techniques.

Some prominent features of this technology are;

- More degrees-of-freedom for the surgical tool manipulation are available than the conventional one.
- Greater precision (even up to <10 micrometers) than the conventional surgical techniques where it is highly dependent of the

human hand resolution (100 micrometers typically).

- Less fatigue for surgeons, who now sit in an ergonomic console rather than being in an uncomfortable standing posture required by the conventional surgical procedures.
- Enhanced safety and increased patient trust in surgery by making use of comprehensive safety-critical features.

However, these advantages come at the expense of extremely high costs of these complex machines. It is yet to be determined when the benefits will outweigh the cost associated with these (Camarillo, 2004). Staggering capital and operational costs are a great challenge for the designers and engineers to bring down to a minimum possible level.

Moreover, telesurgical robotics is a multidisciplinary field and requires shared understanding among various professionals like medical doctors, engineers and computer scientists. Due to this diversity, there are various design objectives and meeting them altogether is a challenge in itself. A number of telesurgical robots have been developed so far with the objective of optimizing certain metrics and thus each one of these has its own advantages as well as shortcomings. The main scope of this chapter is to identify key design metrics for telesurgical robots and compare some of the notable existing telesurgical robotic systems accordingly. This information is expected to play a vital role while designing the next generation of telesurgical robots.

MAIN FOCUS OF THE ARTICLE

The main focus of this chapter is to provide a comprehensive survey about telesurgical robotics which can be equally beneficent to engineering and as well as medical professionals. After a brief background, a detailed discussion of various state-of-the-art telesurgical systems is provided. Key design approaches and challenges are identified and their solutions are recommended. A set of parameters that can be used to ascertain the usefulness of a telesurgical robot are discussed. These parameters not only allow one to choose the most suitable option among the existing systems but also could serve as guidelines for the development of next generation telesurgical systems. A separate section is dedicated for the future research directions followed by conclusions.

BACKGROUND

The stereotactic brain surgery by using Unimation PUMA 200 robot is considered the first robot assisted surgery (Kwong, 1988). In the beginning, there was a dearth of specialized robots custom-designed for medical surgeries. However, industrial robots had developed fully at that time and they were modified to cater the needs of surgery. Since then, many specialized robots have been designed to match the needs of surgical environments. A successful system requires concerted effort of engineers, medical practitioners, scientists and, to some extent, business professionals as well. To summarize the role and contributions, the medical professionals are the one who generally raise the need or a problem and engineers provide a doable solution. Surgeons act as its evaluators as they happen to be the directed customer-community as well. The business people provide a feasible way to commercialize the technology to make it commercially viable and meaningful. This scheme determines the dynamics of interaction amongst the contributing communities.

STATE-OF-THE-ART

The recent advancements in surgical robotics have led to an enormous usage in practice, making surgical robotics industry worth of more than \$0.5 billion per annum. For example, till 2009, da Vinci surgical system of Intuitive Surgical Inc. for laparoscopy was reportedly installed at more than 1,000 locations worldwide (Alterovitz & Desai, 2009). Moreover, it has been successfully used for thousands of surgical procedures globally. This success has increased the interest of researchers and investors, and therefore, a number of other systems have been built since then. According to one study, the worth of surgical robotic industry has been estimated to reach \$1.5 billion till 2018 (Global Industry Analysts, 2012).

Now, we describe some of the successful and well-researched telesurgical robots while highlighting their key features and capabilities.

Zeus Surgical System

The Automated Endoscopic System for Optimal Positioning (AESOP), a four DOF endoscopic manipulator, was developed by the Computer Motion Inc. AESOP was not a telesurgical system itself but it had been utilized as a building block for many other systems, including Zeus (Holt, 2004), developed by the merger of Computer Motion Inc. and Intuitive Surgical Inc. in 1995. It received the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval in 2001.

Zeus comprised of three robotic arms attached to the OT bed. One robotic arm used the AESOP technology with a 3D high quality digital camera, while the other two were used for the surgical procedures. Zeus was the first telesurgical robot based on master-slave topology. The surgeons used a small master manipulator of 6 DOF to move the robotic arms (Holt, 2004). Zeus system is no more in production (Jyotsna Dwivedi, 2012) and has been superseded by the da Vinci surgical system.

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/state-of-the-art-and-key-design-challenges-of-
telesurgical-robotics/184383](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/state-of-the-art-and-key-design-challenges-of-telesurgical-robotics/184383)

Related Content

Taxonomy of IT Intangible Assets for Public Administration Based on the Electronic Government Maturity Model in Uruguay

Helena Garbarino, Bruno Delgado and José Carrillo (2013). *Information Systems Research and Exploring Social Artifacts: Approaches and Methodologies* (pp. 103-122).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/taxonomy-intangible-assets-public-administration/70712

Vitalizing Ancient Cultures Mythological Storytelling in Metal Music

Uur Kilingç (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 7338-7346).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/vitalizing-ancient-cultures-mythological-storytelling-in-metal-music/184430

A Commons Perspective to Understanding the Development of Information Infrastructures

(2012). *Perspectives and Implications for the Development of Information Infrastructures* (pp. 40-62).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/commons-perspective-understanding-development-information/66256

Identification Protocols

Sattar J. Aboud (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 1435-1446).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/identification-protocols/112545

Comparing and Contrasting Rough Set with Logistic Regression for a Dataset

Renu Vashist and M. L. Garg (2014). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 81-98).

www.irma-international.org/article/comparing-and-contrasting-rough-set-with-logistic-regression-for-a-dataset/111314