

The Concept of Modularity in the Context of IS Project Outsourcing



Shahzada Benazeer
University of Antwerp, Belgium

Philip Huysmans
University of Antwerp, Belgium

Peter De Bruyn
University of Antwerp, Belgium

Jan Verelst
University of Antwerp, Belgium

INTRODUCTION

Due to the globalization of the world economy and advancement in information and communication (ICT) technologies, information systems (IS) outsourcing became a very common practice in developed and in emerging economies. Over 94% of 'Fortune 500' companies are outsourcing at least one major business function (Modarress, Ansari, & Thies, 2014). Despite IS outsourcing's popularity, failure rates are high. Premature contract terminations and frequent dissatisfaction with IS outsourcing results are commonly encountered. Many IS outsourcing failures are not even reported publicly due to the fear of negative consequences from the market and the stakeholders. Empirical research has attempted to quantify the high probability of IS outsourcing failures. For instance, a joint study in 2000 by Oxford University's Institute of Information Management and the University of Missouri, which tracked 29 major IS outsourcing projects over eight years, reported that more than 35 percent failed (Gay & Essinger, 2000). A second study by Martineau and Shumway (2009), which compared data from 2004, 2006 and 2009, concluded that by 2009, the percentage of failed IS outsourcing projects (i.e., cancelled prior to completion or delivered and never used), being

44%, had decreased but was still (too) high. In the same study, the percentage of challenged IS projects (late, over budget, and/or with less than the required features and functions) also decreased by 2009 but still amounted to 32% in 2009. It is implied that the success rate in 2009, being 24%, did increase over time but was still low (Ciric & Rakovic, 2010). In this paper the authors are illustrating an alternative and new approach, based on the concept of modularity, which may supplement other approaches in order to significantly reduce the high failure rate in outsourcing. The newness of the proposed perspective is clear from Fixson, Ro, and Liker (2005, p.167) who state,

in the past, modularity and outsourcing were investigated predominantly in separate research communities but more recently, however, a new research stream has emerged that links these two topics together.

Modularity is defined as a property of a complex system, whereby the system is decomposed into several subsystems (i.e., modules). Modules are the decomposed and nearly independent parts of a larger system; the modules are less complex than the larger system. The decomposition of a larger system into modules allows breaking apart

or splitting up the complexities into smaller pieces (modules). The modules are still (mutually) compatible as, together, they work as a whole towards a common goal. The modules' compatibility logically follows from the adoption of specific 'design rules' (i.e., a chosen design option; see later) using an interface as connector (Langlois, 2002). The interface should be 'well-defined' (i.e., leaving no room for ambiguities) as it describes the input a module requires to perform its (functional) task, and the output it provides to its external environment (which includes the other modules embedded in the system). The amount of dependent 'design parameters' between different modules determines their coupling. Good modular design requires low coupling as low coupling enables design parameters of modules to remain stable, that is any change in the design parameter of one module has no or limited impact on the design parameters of other modules. A good modular design therefore exhibits the following two properties: (a) if the design of one module needs to be changed, the change will have no or only a limited impact on the design of other modules, and (b) the function of one module can be studied more or less in isolation from the functionality of the rest of the system. In order for necessary intermodular dependencies to work adequately, all intermodular dependencies should be clearly defined / made explicit *ex ante*, that is no hidden dependencies are allowed for (Mannaert & Verelst, 2009). If all intermodular dependencies in a system are clearly defined, a set of prescriptive rules is obtained, which all modules of the system need to adhere to. This set of rules is referred as the 'modular architecture'.

BACKGROUND

A pertinent question deals with how IS outsourcing failure may be avoided. So far, literature includes many suggestions ('remedies') offered by both scholars and practitioners. Peterson and Carco (1998) suggested to streamline operations and 'fix the problem' before outsourcing an IS.

Various remedies were introduced; the interested reader is referred to:

1. Lambert, Emmelhainz, and Gardner (1999) who introduced their '*Partnership Model*';
2. Greaver (1999) who formulated '*seven steps to successful outsourcing*'; and
3. Rottman (2008) who elaborate on the importance of 'knowledge transfer'; and
4. Harris, Herron and Iwanicki (2008) who stressed the importance of a high quality 'service level agreement' (SLA).

Despite the introduction of such remedies, the empirical research referred to above continues to attest to the high failure rate of IS outsourcing projects. It seems these remedies turned out to be partially successful at best. Partial successfulness may be due to the fact that most of those remedies focus on treating the symptoms (i.e., the consequences) rather than the causes of ineffective IS outsourcing. As a consequence, it is plausible that some important outsourcing risks were not identified *ex ante*. The desire to be better equipped to identify outsourcing risks *ex ante* has fueled the study presented in this paper. As a matter of fact, this paper presents an alternative, new perspective on how to avoid IS project outsourcing failures. The new perspective goes beyond the higher-mentioned remedies, and explores the concept of modularity in relation to IS outsourcing projects. Such a new perspective, which originates from the system sciences, may add value to outsourcing risk analyses. The added value comes from new or deeper insights which are instrumental in defining an exhaustive list of required mitigating actions, which is key for a successful completion of IS outsourcing projects. To illustrate the new perspective, a reanalysis of a failed (actual) outsourcing case is discussed. The paper shows in detail how poorly designed modular structures at the project level could have been identified *ex ante*. This approach is similar to the application of modularity to other context, such as requirements engineering (Verelst et al., 2013).

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-concept-of-modularity-in-the-context-of-is-project-outsourcing/184235

Related Content

Implementing Enterprise Resource Planning

Kijpokin Kasemsap (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 798-807).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementing-enterprise-resource-planning/112473

Rigor in Grounded Theory Research: An Interpretive Perspective on Generating Theory from Qualitative Field Studies

Susan Gasson (2004). *The Handbook of Information Systems Research* (pp. 79-102).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/rigor-grounded-theory-research/30344

Big Data Summarization Using Novel Clustering Algorithm and Semantic Feature Approach

Shilpa G. Kolte and Jagdish W. Bakal (2017). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 108-117).

www.irma-international.org/article/big-data-summarization-using-novel-clustering-algorithm-and-semantic-feature-approach/182295

Hybrid TRS-FA Clustering Approach for Web2.0 Social Tagging System

Hannah Inbarani Hand Selva Kumar S (2015). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 70-87).

www.irma-international.org/article/hybrid-trs-fa-clustering-approach-for-web20-social-tagging-system/122780

Electronic Cognitive Exercises

Agisilaos Chaldogieridis and Thrasyvoulos Tsiatsos (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 1016-1022).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/electronic-cognitive-exercises/112495