

# Communities of Practice as a Source of Open Innovation

K

**Diane-Gabrielle Tremblay**  
University of Quebec, Canada

## INTRODUCTION

In this entry, we first define this new form of learning and knowledge management that is communities of practice. We present the concept as described by the creators of the concept but also comment on the role of these communities in organizational learning or informal learning. We follow with some of the results, centering on the conditions of success and challenges that emerge, as well as limits in the learning and sharing process, which are often underestimated. We highlight some results from a research on communities of practice in Canada, in particular the main conditions and challenges of such new modes of knowledge creation and management, which don't always work automatically. We compare these results to other recent research. Research clearly confirms that participants' commitment and motivation in the project, dynamism and continuity of leadership, organizational support and recognition of employees' involvement are the key elements in a community of practice, and they can contribute to open innovation.

## COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE

The term 'communities of practice' was first used by Brown and Duguid (1991), by Lave and Wenger (1991), and finally by Wenger (1998; Wenger et al., 2002, 2000). It refers to the idea of sharing information and knowledge within a small group, as well as to the value of informal learning for a group and an organization. As is usually the case today, we consider people use technologies (com-

puter, cell phone, ipad, etc.) to exchange with each other, but also to keep track of some information and knowledge the group wants to stock. Wenger et al. (2002) describe a community of practice as a group of participants who:

*Don't necessarily work together every day, but they meet because they find value in their interactions. As they spend time together, they typically share information, insight, and advice. They help each other solve problems. They discuss their situations, their aspirations, and their needs. They ponder common issues, explore ideas, and act as sounding boards. They may create tools, standards, generic designs, manuals, and other documents – or they simply develop a tacit understanding that they share. However they accumulate knowledge, they become informally bound by the value that they find in learning together. This value is not merely instrumental for their work. It also accrues in the personal satisfaction of knowing colleagues who understand each other's perspectives and of belonging to an interesting group of people. Over time, they develop a unique perspective on their topic as well as a body of common knowledge, practices, and approaches. They also develop personal relationships and established ways of interacting. They may even develop a common sense of identity. They become a community of practice. (pp. 4-5)*

In the 90s, observers mainly studied informal communities that were created spontaneously in a workplace. However, over the years, there has been increasing interest in creating and cultivating such communities in workplaces (Swan et al.,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2255-3.ch435

2002; Wenger et al., 2002). Also, more recently, there has been more and more interest in seeing companies and organizations in general as a group of communities of practice and more and more interest in the leadership and empowerment dimension (Cordery et al., 2015), as well as on the impact on innovation (Müller & Ibert, 2015). Many of these communities are teleworking communities or distributed communities (Friberger & Falkman, 2013), often active in an agile and lean environment (Paasivaara & Lassenius, 2014) that use information and communication technologies, and this was the case in the communities we studied.

The following definitions help us to better understand what this concept actually means (Mitchell, 2002):

- Communities of practice are people who share a concern, a set of problems or a passion about a topic, and deepen their knowl-

edge and expertise in this area by interacting on an ongoing basis

- A group whose members regularly engage in sharing and learning, based on their common interests

Wenger et al. (2002) as well as Mitchell (2002), among others, indicate that communities of practice take on various forms, and Table 1 highlights the differences that exist between types of communities. In the cases we studied, communities were of the structured distributed type, most of them being formally supported by one organization, a few being inter-organizational, but all having to do with their work activity and not personal interests, as is more often the case in the informal type of community. Over the years, inter-organizational or inter-cluster interactions (Cusien & Loubaresse, 2015) have become more important, and there is as much interest in these types of communities as in those organized within a single firm, while

*Table 1. various forms of communities of practice*

Aspects	Informal	Supported	Structured
Objective	Provide a discussion forum for people with affinity of interest or needs within their practice	Build knowledge and capability for a given business or competency area	Provide a cross-functional platform for members who have common objectives and goals
Affiliation	Self-joining or peer invited	Self-joining, member invited or manager suggestion	Selection criteria outlined Invited by sponsors or members
Sponsorship	No organizational sponsor	One or more managers as sponsors	Business unit or senior management sponsorship
Mandate	Jointly defined by members	Jointly defined by members and sponsor(s)	Defined by sponsor(s) with endorsement of members
Organizational support	General endorsement of communities of practice Provision of standard collaborative tools	Discretionary managerial support in terms of resources and participation Supplemented array of tools and facilitation support	Fully-fledged organizational support on the same basis as organizational segments Budget allocation as part of business plans
Infrastructure	Most likely meets face-to-face; primary contact Has a means of communication for secondary contact	Uses collaborative tools Meets face-to-face on a regular basis	Uses sophisticated technological infrastructure to support collaboration and store knowledge objects generated in the community Highly enabled by technology
Visibility	So natural that it may go unnoticed	Visible to colleagues affected by the community's contribution to practice	Highly visible to the organization through targeted communication efforts that are stewarded by sponsors.

Source: Davel and Tremblay (2011)

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/communities-of-practice-as-a-source-of-open-innovation/184205](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/communities-of-practice-as-a-source-of-open-innovation/184205)

## Related Content

---

### Early Warning of Companies' Credit Risk Based on Machine Learning

Benyan Tanand Yujie Lin (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-21).

[www.irma-international.org/article/early-warning-of-companies-credit-risk-based-on-machine-learning/324067](http://www.irma-international.org/article/early-warning-of-companies-credit-risk-based-on-machine-learning/324067)

### E-Business Supply Chains Drivers, Metrics, and ERP Integration

Jean C. Essila (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 5345-5356).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/e-business-supply-chains-drivers-metrics-and-erp-integration/184238](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/e-business-supply-chains-drivers-metrics-and-erp-integration/184238)

### Distance Teaching and Learning Platforms

Linda D. Grooms (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 2455-2465).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/distance-teaching-and-learning-platforms/183958](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/distance-teaching-and-learning-platforms/183958)

### Idiosyncratic Volatility and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns of NEEQ Select

Yuan Ye (2022). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-16).

[www.irma-international.org/article/idiosyncratic-volatility-and-the-cross-section-of-stock-returns-of-neeq-select/307030](http://www.irma-international.org/article/idiosyncratic-volatility-and-the-cross-section-of-stock-returns-of-neeq-select/307030)

### Advances in Electrocardiogram Information Management

T.R. Gopalakrishnan Nair (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 3296-3304).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/advances-in-electrocardiogram-information-management/112761](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/advances-in-electrocardiogram-information-management/112761)