The Foundation of (Business) Ethics' Evolution

Ben Tran
Alliant International University, USA

INTRODUCTION

Business education and higher education, according to Brink and Smith (2012), is general face criticism on several fronts and are subject to increasing scrutiny. Pringle and Michel (2007, p. 202) advised that "state legislators, parents, taxpayers, and donors want universities to justify their investments by providing evidence of student learning". This justification seems warranted given Arum and Roksa's (2011) compelling evidence demonstrating that undergraduate students are learning little, partly, because of the lack of rigor at institutions of higher education. In addition, possessing an MBA degree and the mastery of MBA subject matter are uncorrelated with career success (Pfeffer & Fong, 2002). Business schools are at a crossroads and it is time to seriously rethink or redesign business education (Datar, Garvin, & Cullen, 2010). The *Wall Street Journal* reported that corporate recruiters are questioning the value of the undergraduate business degree and "they're looking for candidates with a broader academic background" (Korn, 2012). The purpose of this chapter is to cover the foundation of (business) ethics and the meaning of business ethics. In so doing, this chapter will cover the topic of accreditation in higher educational institutions, and the teaching of business ethics courses in higher educational institutions.

FOUNDATION OF BUSINESS EDUCATION AND ACCREDITATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

In light of criticisms regarding business education in higher education institutions, it would be prudent for business schools to assure their stakeholders of quality and accountability. Accreditation is one method of holding a program or institution accountable and demonstrating that the program/institution meets at least a minimum quality threshold. The Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA) defines accreditation as "a process of external quality reviewer created and used by higher education to scrutinize colleges, universities and programs for quality assurance and quality improvement" (Eaton, 2011, p. 1). Accreditation serves several roles, two of which include "assuring quality and "engendering private sector confidence" (Eaton, 2011, p. 2-3). CHEA indicates that "accreditation in the United States is about quality assurance and quality improvement. It is a process to scrutinize higher education institutions and programs" (Eaton, 2011, p. 11).

The goal of CHEA is to assure "that accrediting organizations contribute to maintaining and improving academic quality" (Eaton, 2011, p. 9). CHEA's role is to review and scrutinize the quality and effectiveness of accreditors and *recognize*

them. CHEA does not accredit institutions or programs, rather, CHEA accredits that accreditors. CHEA recognizes sixty institutional and programmatic accrediting organizations, including three [levels (gold, silver, and bronze)] that accredit business programs: the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB) International, the Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Program (ACBSP), and the International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education (IACBE). Hence, a higher educational institution receiving regional accreditation does not necessary translate to the same higher educational institution receiving one of the three levels of accreditation for its business program.

Currently, there are six regional accrediting agencies for educational institutions in the United States:

1. Middle States Association of Colleges and Schools [(Middle States Association, MSACS, or MSA) which covers educational institutions in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the US Virgin Islands, as well as schools for American children in Europe, North Africa, and the Middle East),
2. New England Association of Schools and Colleges, Inc. [{(NEASC) which covers educational institutions in the six New England states (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont)}],
3. Higher Learning Commission (formerly part of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools and covers educational institutions in Arkansas, Arizona, Colorado, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, Nebraska, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Wisconsin, West Virginia, and Wyoming),
4. Northwest Accreditation Commission (NWAC), formerly known as the Northwest Association of Accredited Schools, is for primary and secondary schools and Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (NWCCU) for postsecondary institutions in Alaska, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington,
5. Southern Association of Colleges and Schools [(SACS) which covers educational institutions in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia], and
6. Western Association of Schools and Colleges [(WASC) which covers educational institutions in California, Hawaii, Guam, American Samoa, Micronesia, Palau, and Northern Marianas Islands, as well as schools for American children in Asia].

Attaining and maintaining accreditation may help a business program distinguish itself based on quality. However, accreditation requires a substantial financial investment. Roberts, Johnson, and Groesbeck (2004, p. 112) indicated that "the annual incremental cost increase for even a small school…and can easily exceed $500,000".

Standards of Business Ethics

Among the three levels (bronze, silver, and gold) that accredit business programs (AACSB, ACBSP, and IACBE), only two levels are international accreditation bodies for higher education business schools, the AACSB and the ACBSP (Franks & Spalding, 2013). Both AACSB and ACBSP require business schools to incorporate ethics into their curricula, but between these two levels of accreditation, the standards put forth by the AACSB are more detailed than those put forth by the ACBSP. Under the AACSB approach, ethics education is required as part of the general knowledge and skills portion of the standards for undergraduates, and in the management-specific portion of the standards for undergraduate and master's students. Ethics education under this

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-foundation-of-business-ethics-evolution/184028

Related Content

Decision Support System for Assigning Members to Agile Teams
Fernando Almeida, Diogo Adãoand Catarina Martins (2019). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 43-60).*
www.irma-international.org/article/decision-support-system-for-assigning-members-to-agile-teams/230304

NoSQL Databases
Manoj Manujaand Neeraj Garg (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition (pp. 379-391).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/nosql-databases/112348

A Framework for Self-Regulated Project-Based Learning in Higher Education
Mohamed Yassine Zarouk, Francisco Restivoand Mohamed Khaldi (2019). *Educational and Social Dimensions of Digital Transformation in Organizations (pp. 218-273).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-framework-for-self-regulated-project-based-learning-in-higher-education/215144

Getting the Best out of People in Small Software Companies: ISO/IEC 29110 and ISO 10018 Standards
Mary-Luz Sanchez-Gordon (2017). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 45-60).*
www.irma-international.org/article/getting-the-best-out-of-people-in-small-software-companies/169767

Productivity Measurement in Software Engineering: A Study of the Inputs and the Outputs
Adrián Hernández-López, Ricardo Colomo-Palacios, Pedro Soto-Acostaand Cristina Casado Lumberas (2015). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 46-68).*
www.irma-international.org/article/productivity-measurement-in-software-engineering/125628