

Using Business Analytics in Franchise Organizations

Ye-Sho Chen

Louisiana State University, USA

INTRODUCTION

Franchising has been a popular approach to growing a business (Justis & Judd, 2002). Its popularity continues to increase, as we witness an emergence of a new business model, Netchising, which is the combination of the Internet for global demand-and-supply processes and the international *franchising* arrangement for local responsiveness (Chen, Justis, & Yang, 2004; Chen, Chen, & Wu, 2005, 2007; Chen & Wu, 2007; Chen, Liu, Zeng, & Azevedo, 2012).

BACKGROUND

In his best seller, *Business @ the Speed of Thought*, Bill Gates (1999) wrote: “Information Technology and business are becoming inextricably interwoven. I don’t think anybody can talk meaningfully about one without talking about the other.” (p. 6) Gates’ point is quite true when one talks about franchise’s use of big data and business analytics, which is “delivering the right decision support to the right people at the right time” (Laursen & Thorlund, 2010, p. xii). Thus, to see how big data and business analytics can be “meaningfully” used in franchise organizations, one needs to know how franchising really works. In this paper, we show that building up a good “family” relationship between the franchisor and the franchisee is the real essence of franchising, and proven working knowledge is the foundation of the “family” relationship. Specifically, we use the following seven pillars of business analytics (Isson & Harriott, 2013) to discuss the process


of how to make business analytics “meaningful” in franchising: business challenges, data foundation, analytics implementation, insights, execution and measurements, distributed knowledge, and innovation.

BUSINESS CHALLENGES: MANAGING THE FRANCHISOR AND FRANCHISEE RELATIONSHIP

Franchising is “a business opportunity by which the owner ... grants exclusive rights to an individual for the local distribution... The individual or business granting the business rights is called the *franchisor*, and the individual or business granted the right to operate ... is called the *franchisee*.” (Justis & Judd, 2002, pp. 1-3) Developing a good “family” relationship between the franchisor and the franchisee is the key business challenge of a successful franchise (Justis & Judd, 2002). Figure 1 describes how such a “family” relationship is built in the franchise business community. In the figure, it shows that the franchise system is operated in the dynamic business environment of global, national, regional, and local communities. The “family” relationship is developed through a mutual learning process of person-centric relationship building.

The franchisor’s learning process is incrementally developed through five stages (Justis & Judd, 2002): Beginner – learning how to do it; Novice – practicing doing it; Advanced – doing it; Master – teaching others to do it; and Professional – becoming the best that you can be. Once attaining the advanced stages of development,

Figure 1. Understanding how the franchisor/franchisee “family” relationship works



most preceding struggles have been overcome. However, further convoluted and challenging enquiries will arise as the franchise continues expansion. This is especially true once the system reaches the “Professional” stage, where various unpredicted and intricate problems could arise. To capture the learning process, a counter-clockwise round arrow surrounding the franchisor is used to depict the increasing intensity of learning as the franchisor continues to grow.

To understand how the “family” relationship is developed, one needs to know the five phases of franchisee life cycle (Schreuder, Krige, & Parker, 2000): Courting: both the franchisee and the franchisor are eager with the relationship; “We”: the relationship starts to deteriorate, but the franchisee still values the relationship; “Me”: the franchisee starts to question the reasons for payments related issues with the attitude that the success so far is purely of his/her own work; Rebel: the franchisee starts to challenge the restrictions being placed upon; and Renewal: the franchisee realizes the “win-win” solution is to continue teaming up with the franchisor to grow the system. Similar to the franchisor, a counter-clockwise round arrow surrounding the franchisee is used in Figure 1 to depict the increasing intensity of franchisee life cycle as the franchisee continues learning and growing.

As the franchisee progresses through the life cycle, the “family” relationship gradually develops a mutually influencing process (Justis & Vincent, 2001) as depicted in Figure 1 with a bi-directional arrow: working knowledge, proven abilities of expanding the franchise system profitably; positive attitude, constructive ways of presenting and sharing the working knowledge; good motivation, providing incentives for learning or teaching the working knowledge; positive individual behavior, understanding and leveraging the strengths of the participants to learn and enhance the working knowledge; and collaborative group behavior, having the team spirit to find the best way to collect, disseminate, and manage the hard-earned working knowledge. By going through the processes of learning and influencing, both the franchisor and the franchisee gain the progressive working knowledge in the franchise business community. The franchisor, the franchisee, and the franchise business community in Figure 1 are surrounded with dashed lines, indicating that there is no limit to the learning process.

DATA FOUNDATION: MANGING FRANCHISE BIG DATA

There are many “touchpoints” within the franchise business community where the franchisor and

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-business-analytics-in-franchise-organizations/183804

Related Content

Modified Distance Regularized Level Set Segmentation Based Analysis for Kidney Stone Detection

K. Viswanath and R. Gunasundari (2015). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 24-41).

www.irma-international.org/article/modified-distance-regularized-level-set-segmentation-based-analysis-for-kidney-stone-detection/133531

Business Innovation and Service Oriented Architecture: An Empirical Investigation

Bendik Bygstad, Tor-Morten Grønli, Helge Bergh and Gheorghita Ghinea (2011). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 67-78).

www.irma-international.org/article/business-innovation-service-oriented-architecture/51369

Strategic Knowledge Management, Innovation, and Performance

Fakhraddin Maroofi (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 4709-4719).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategic-knowledge-management-innovation-and-performance/112913

Sheaf Representation of an Information System

Pyla Vamsi Sagar and M. Phani Krishna Kishore (2019). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 73-83).

www.irma-international.org/article/sheaf-representation-of-an-information-system/233599

Research Methodology

Swati C. Jagdale, Rahul U. Hude and Aniruddha R. Chabukswar (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 6767-6778).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/research-methodology/184372