

## Chapter 5.20

# A Two-Tier Approach to Elicit Enterprise Portal User Requirements

**Eric Tsui**

*The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong*

**Calvin Yu**

*The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong*

**Adela Lau**

*The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong*

### INTRODUCTION

Organizations are increasingly turning to enterprise portals to support knowledge work. Portal deployment can be intra-departmental across several business units in one organization or even inter-organizational. Currently in the industry, most of these portals are purchased solutions (e.g., collaboration and smart enterprise suites) and many of these purchasing and selection decisions are primarily driven by the interest of a small group of stakeholders with strong influence from IT vendors. The true requirements for the portal as well as the strategy for its medium- to long-term phased deployment are, in general, poorly addressed. This, together with other reasons, has lead to many failures or to a low adoption rate of

the enterprise portal by staff at various levels of an organization. Common problems that hinder portal adoption include lack of an overall governance model, mis-alignment with business processes, poor or non-existent content management (process, tools, and governance), and technical problems associated with the development and configuration of portlets. This article focuses on one critical issue that directly influences the success of an enterprise portal deployment, namely the correct elicitation of user requirements (which in turn lead to the chosen portal's features and to the style of the portal interface). Taking into consideration the advancement and landscape of commercial portal vendors in the market, this article discusses a bottom-up approach to the identification of high-level drivers for portal usages for its users.

## **Reasons for a Low Portal Adoption Rate**

A survey of 387 organizations by META Group (Roth, 2004) has revealed that although portal adoption among organizations is strong (e.g., some 35% in mid-2003), there have been plenty of setbacks in sustaining or enhancing user adoption of a portal after it has been deployed. Based on the authors' experience gained from working on various KM systems and portal projects (in the Asia Pacific region), prominent reasons why an enterprise portal are under-used include:

- The portal is difficult or unpleasant to use due to poor interface design and to information being difficult to locate. This may include a lack of coordination of the information stored in various portal pages, and inadequacies in the user interface design as well as in the tools provided in the portal.
- Compared to an intranet, the response of a portal is generally slower because of the additional abstractions and messages passing between system components in and outside the portal. Slower responses, needless to say, cause user frustration.
- Portal content may show a lack of integrity because of duplication and inconsistent information in the portal. As a result, users soon lose interest in accessing the portal for purposes of information retrieval. Without a single unique sign-on solution, portal users often get annoyed as they need to remember and enter multiple sets of user "IDs" and passwords when accessing different parts of the portal.
- Nearly all portal deployment is top-down and enterprise-driven. There is a strong governance on the creation and regulation of documents, folders, and communities/discussion boards. As such, it is often time-consuming to go through the administrative procedures in order to set up a portal (or a portal community space for collaboration).
- Some organizations exert too many restrictions on the use of the portal such as specifying the maximum size of documents that can be uploaded. Certain portal users are permitted to upload only content that is in pre-defined folders. These are issues related to over-governance.
- Some portal interfaces are not aligned with the needs of the users. For example, mobile workers generally require lite-access to their enterprise/project portal via handheld devices.
- Because of personal habit, convenience, or speed of access, many users resort to old sources (e.g., Intranet) to retrieve the information they seek without going through the portal. After a portal has been deployed, many organizations fail to eliminate (i.e., close-off) the previous access-points hence compromising the single gateway concept/value of having a portal.
- Many employees find enterprise portal capabilities far inferior to the Internet/Web portal that they are now so familiar with (Weiss, Capozzi, & Prusak, 2004).
- Sometimes there is a lack of focus on portal content as insufficient funds are being committed for data migration, content maintenance and features upgrade (Murphy, Higgs, & Quirk, 2002).
- The features, tools, and content provided in the portal do not always align with the business processes or with the KM strategy.
- Not paying sufficient attention to the creation and maintenance of a taxonomy and meta-data, users experience difficulties in locating the needed information via search and navigational means.
- A poor or non-existent change management program means that users are ill prepared for the launch of the portal. This means that they do not appreciate the full potential of the portal.

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/two-tier-approach-elicite-enterprise/18288](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/two-tier-approach-elicite-enterprise/18288)

## Related Content

---

### An Empirical Study on Selection, Evaluation, and Management Strategies of Green Suppliers in Manufacturing Enterprises

Chia-Huei Wu (2022). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing* (pp. 1-18).

[www.irma-international.org/article/an-empirical-study-on-selection-evaluation-and-management-strategies-of-green-suppliers-in-manufacturing-enterprises/307568](http://www.irma-international.org/article/an-empirical-study-on-selection-evaluation-and-management-strategies-of-green-suppliers-in-manufacturing-enterprises/307568)

### Redefining the Role and Purpose of Learning

Stephen R. Quinton (2012). *User Interface Design for Virtual Environments: Challenges and Advances* (pp. 185-208).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/redefining-role-purpose-learning/62124](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/redefining-role-purpose-learning/62124)

### Learning to Use IT in the Workplace: Mechanisms and Masters

Valerie K. Spitler (2007). *Contemporary Issues in End User Computing* (pp. 292-323).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-use-workplace/7041](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-use-workplace/7041)

### The Technology Acceptance Model: A Meta-Analysis of Empirical Findings

Qingxiong Ma and Liping Liu (2005). *Advanced Topics in End User Computing, Volume 4* (pp. 112-128).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-acceptance-model/4475](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-acceptance-model/4475)

### Assessing the Dimension of Magnitude in Computer Self-efficacy: An Empirical Comparison of Task-Based and Levels of Assistance-Based Methodologies

James P. Downey and R. Kelly Rainer (2011). *Organizational and End-User Interactions: New Explorations* (pp. 331-351).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/assessing-dimension-magnitude-computer-self/53098](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/assessing-dimension-magnitude-computer-self/53098)