

Chapter 5.9

Inhibitors of Two Illegal Behaviors: Hacking and Shoplifting

Lixuan Zhang

College of Charleston, USA

Randall Young

University of North Texas, USA

Victor Prybutok

University of North Texas, USA

ABSTRACT

The means by which the United States justice system attempts to control illegal hacking are practiced under the assumption that illegal hacking is like any other illegal crime. This article evaluates this assumption by comparing illegal hacking to shoplifting. Three inhibitors of two illegal behaviors are examined: informal sanction, punishment severity, and punishment certainty. A survey of 136 undergraduate students attending a university and 54 illegal hackers attending the Defcon conference in 2003 was conducted. The results show that both groups perceive a higher level of punishment severity but a lower level of informal sanction for hacking than for shoplifting. The findings show that hackers perceive a lower level of punishment certainty for hacking

than for shoplifting but that students perceive a higher level of punishment certainty for hacking than for shoplifting. The results add to the stream of information security research and provide significant implications for law makers and educators aiming to combat hacking.

INTRODUCTION

Interest in hacking has increased in popularity due to high-profile media coverage of system breaches. In April 2005, hackers gained access to personal records of 310,000 individuals from the LexisNexis database (Gagnier, 2005). In June 2005, the information belonging to 40 million credit card holders was hacked through a credit card processor (Bradner, 2005). Companies are

reluctant to publicize that they have experienced information security breaches because of the negative impact such incidents have on their public image leading to loss of market value. Cavusoglu, Mishra and Raghunathan (2004) estimate the loss in market value for organizations to be 2.1% within two days of reporting an Internet security breach which represents an average loss of 1.65 billion. In addition to the damages to public image and market value loss, security breaches also impact the value of an organization because of the actual cost required to address the issues.

The rise of computer and Internet use has coincided with an increase in ability of users to commit computer abuses (Loch et al., 1992; Straub & Nance, 1990) along with an increase in the number of unethical, yet attractive situations faced by computer users (Gattiker & Kelley, 1999). In a study where students were asked if they had engaged in any form of illegal computer use such as software piracy and hacking, almost half of the students admitted using the computer in an illegal manner (Forcht, 1991). Recently, Freestone and Mitchell (2004) examined the Internet ethics of Generation Y. They found that hacking is considered less wrong than other illegal Internet activities such as "selling counterfeit goods over the Internet." It is recognized that illegal hacking activities encompass a wide array of violations of varying degrees of seriousness. For this study, the interest is not in any specific type of illegal hacking but rather illegal hacking activities in general.

Hacking is one of the technologically-enabled crimes (Gordon, 2000). Originally the term hacker was a complimentary term that referred to the innovative programmers at MIT who wanted to explore mainframe computing and were motivated by intellectual curiosity and challenges (Chandler, 1996). However, the term became derogatory as computer intruders pursued purposefully destructive actions that caused serious damage for both corporations and individuals. American Heritage Dictionary (2000) defines a hacker as "one who

uses programming skills to gain illegal access to a computer network or file".

Hacking is a relatively new crime and, as such, is potentially perceived differently from other crimes. Most recently, there has been demand for research that will aid in developing an understanding of how computer crimes differ from more traditional crimes (Rogers, 2001). Due to cost-effectiveness concerns, the chief avenue utilized by the United States government to deter illegal behavior is to increase the severity of punishment (Kahan, 1997). This approach is also used to deter illegal hacking behavior. However, this approach to control illegal hacking is practiced with the assumption that the factors affecting illegal hacking are similar to the factors that influence other types of crime. This assumption is set out to be evaluated by comparing illegal hacking activities to shoplifting. The decision to use shoplifting for comparison to illegal hacking was motivated by three reasons:

First, the act of shoplifting is in some ways similar to hacking in that both are acts of illegally obtaining something (i.e. illegal hacking is an act of acquiring access and/or information). Hackers, especially those that are motivated by greed and profit, commit a crime that is analogous to trespassing and taking others' property with the intention of keeping it or selling it. Both of these crimes increase an organization's security costs and overburden the courts. Secondly, the social stigma associated with shoplifting is not as extreme as for crimes like auto theft, burglary of a residence, and money laundering. And as such it is believed that there is a higher probability that the target population has heard discussion of shoplifting or knows someone that has engaged in the activity. Thirdly, many people who commit these two crimes are juveniles. According to the statistics of the National Association for Shoplifting Prevention, 25% of the shoplifters are young juveniles and 55% of shoplifters started shoplifting in their teens. Research on hackers also shows that most hackers are between 12 and 28

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/inhibitors-two-illegal-behaviors/18277

Related Content

Supply Chain Practices, Dynamic Capabilities, and Performance: The Moderating Role of Big Data Analytics

Xiaoyi Zhang, Xinying He, Xiaomin Du, Ao Zhang and Yueqi Dong (2023). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing* (pp. 1-26).

www.irma-international.org/article/supply-chain-practices-dynamic-capabilities-and-performance/325214

The Soft System Methodology as a Framework for Software Process Improvement

Peter D.C. Bennetts, A. Trevor Wood-Harper and Stella Mills (1998). *Journal of End User Computing* (pp. 12-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/soft-system-methodology-framework-software/55747

Learning to Use IT in the Workplace: Mechanisms and Masters

Valerie K. Spitler (2008). *End-User Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1986-2010).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-use-workplace/163873

Assessing ERP Learning (Management, Business Process, and Skills) and Attitudes

Timothy Paul Cronan and David E. Douglas (2013). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing* (pp. 59-74).

www.irma-international.org/article/assessing-erp-learning-management-business/76877

Nursing Staff Requirements for Telemedicine in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit

Tara Qavi, Lisa Corley and Steve Kay (2002). *Advanced Topics in End User Computing, Volume 1* (pp. 52-71).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/nursing-staff-requirements-telemedicine-neonatal/4424