

Chapter V

An Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model to Determine the Intention to Use Biometric Devices

Tabitha James

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA

Taner Pirim

Mississippi Center for Supercomputing Research, USA

Katherine Boswell

University of Louisiana - Monroe, USA

Brian Reithel

University of Mississippi, USA

Reza Barkhi

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA

ABSTRACT

Protection of physical assets and digital information is of growing importance to society. The need for development and use of security technologies is ever increasing. As with any new technology, user acceptance of new software and hardware devices is often hard to gauge, and policies to introduce and ensure adequate and correct usage of such technologies are often lacking. Security technologies have widespread applicability to different organizational contexts that may present unusual and varied adoption considerations. This study adapts the technology acceptance model and extends it to study the

intention to use security devices, more specifically biometrics, across a wide variety of organizational contexts. Due to the use of physiological characteristics, biometrics present unique adoption concerns. The extension of the technology acceptance model for biometrics is useful, as biometrics encompass many of the same adoption concerns as traditional security devices, but include a level of invasiveness that is obvious to the user. Through the use of vignettes, this study encompasses a systematically varied set of usage contexts for biometric devices to provide a generalizable view of the factors impacting intention to use over all categories of situational contexts of the device's use. The technology acceptance model is extended in this study to include constructs for perceived need for privacy, perceived need for security, and perceived physical invasiveness of biometric devices as factors that influence intention to use. The model is shown to be a good predictor of intention to use biometric devices and implications of the results for biometric and security technology acceptance is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Property theft, violent crimes, theft, and misuse of digital information, terrorism, and threats to privacy, including identity fraud, in today's digitally connected, mobile society necessitate the development of tools to protect digital information and physical assets by both individuals and corporate entities. According to findings from the National Crime Victimization Survey, approximately 23 million U.S. residents were victims of crime in 2005, including both property crime and violent criminal acts (Bureau of Justice, 2005). The 2006 CSI/FBI Computer Crime and Security Survey reported that 52% of their participants reported unauthorized computer use. Out of the respondents that were willing or could quantify the financial implications, the amount of losses reported exceeded \$52 million (Gordon, Loeb, Lucyshyn, & Richardson, 2006). The Federal Trade Commission reported 246,035 identity theft complaints in 2006 which accounted for 36% of all FTC complaints for the year (Federal Trade Commission, 2007). The most common form of identity theft reported was credit card fraud which accounted for 25% of the complaints, followed by phone or utilities fraud, bank fraud, and employment fraud (Federal Trade Commission, 2007).

The need to secure both digital and physical assets is apparent from these statistics, yet it is often difficult for technology to keep pace with

the growing number of threats and the increasing number of vulnerabilities that exist in traditional methods of security. A method of identification that has been growing in popularity is the use of physical or behavioral traits, such as fingerprints or DNA, to identify and authenticate individuals. Certain physical and behavioral traits are unique to each individual and therefore may provide methods of identification that are more successful than traditional approaches. Technological devices that utilize these unique traits to identify and authenticate an individual are known as biometrics. These devices have the obvious advantage of not falling prey to many of the well known vulnerabilities of traditional methods. Since a biometric device uses a unique biological trait to distinguish an individual, it is very difficult and often impossible for the identifier to be lost, stolen, duplicated, or given away (Liu & Silverman, 2001). This advantage makes biometric devices an appealing option for individuals and corporations that wish to adopt a new security technology.

The technology acceptance model (TAM) has received wide acceptance for studying the usage behavior of new technologies (Davis, 1989). We extend TAM to determine the intention to use security technologies, specifically biometric devices. We utilize a vignette based survey design to study the user behavior towards biometrics and the intention to use these devices. This approach provides a general overview of individual's per-

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/extension-technology-acceptance-model-determine/18153

Related Content

Exploring Choice as an Antecedent to Behavior: Incorporating Alternatives into the Technology Acceptance Process

Miguel I. Aguirre-Urreta and George M. Marakas (2012). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing* (pp. 82-107).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-choice-antecedent-behavior/61414

Usability Testing of an Education Management Information System: The Case of the University of Colima

Pedro C. Santana, Ana C. Ahumada and Martha A. Magaña (2013). *Cases on Usability Engineering: Design and Development of Digital Products* (pp. 80-93).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/usability-testing-education-management-information/76797

BCI-Based User-Centered Design for Emotionally-Driven User Experience

Valeria Carofiglio and Fabio Abbattista (2013). *Cases on Usability Engineering: Design and Development of Digital Products* (pp. 299-320).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/bci-based-user-centered-design/76806

The Technology Acceptance Model: A Meta-Analysis of Empirical Findings

Qingxiong Ma and Liping Liu (2005). *Advanced Topics in End User Computing, Volume 4* (pp. 112-128).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-acceptance-model/4475

Enhancing Learners' Performance in Contest Through Knowledge Mapping Algorithm: The Roles of Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain in Scoring and Data Integrity

Zhilin Luo, Xuefeng Shao and Xiaochun Ma (2024). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing* (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/enhancing-learners-performance-in-contest-through-knowledge-mapping-algorithm/336277