

## Chapter 2

# Smartphone Guns Shooting Tweets: Killing the “Other” in Palestine

**Ryan Kiggins**

*University of Central Oklahoma, USA*

### **ABSTRACT**

*This chapter investigates the increasing use of social media during a 2012 flare up in armed conflict between Hamas and the state of Israel. Through tweet and counter tweet, Israel, Hamas, and digital recruits engage in a duel as lethal to identity as kinetic projectiles. Internet connected devices such as smartphones have become hostile agents through the republishing of social media content. Such devices and social media content have material affects beyond the geographic battlespace. The advent of Internet connected devices and social media content concomitant with their use during armed conflict by hostiles beyond the geographic battlespace suggest that patterns of conflict are rapidly changing calling into question the notion of hostile, hostile acts, and battlespace. In a social media and smartphone saturated era, who and what counts as hostile (people, smartphones, and tweets) is increasingly ambiguous.*

### **TECHNOLGY AND CONFLICT**

Technology occupies an agentive role in shaping patterns of conflict throughout history. Herrera (2007), for example, reviews how the introduction of the pike (long spears wielded by foot soldiers) from Switzerland to France in the in the fifteenth century changed how war was fought. Knights in armor on horseback suddenly

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2463-2.ch002

### ***Smartphone Guns Shooting Tweets***

became vulnerable, no longer lords of the battlefield or the manor with the introduction to conflict of a single object including new patterns of employing that object in conflict with knights. Similarly, the advent of new technologies such as rapid-fire rifles, machine guns, and more powerful explosives in the late nineteenth century radically altered warfare in the early twentieth century. War on the Western front during World War I became static as soldiers dug trenches and bunkers in response to the new technologies deployed on the battlefields of Flanders and France until the development of a new technology – the tank – returned warfare to an age of maneuver as observed in World War II. In the same vein, Singer (2009) argues that the robotics and information revolution will do for warfare and patterns of conflict in the twenty-first century what the industrial revolution did to warfare and patterns of conflict in the late nineteenth and into the twentieth century. While that may be so, perhaps the single most significant development of technology effecting patterns of international conflict is the splitting of the atom that facilitated the development of nuclear weapons and nuclear propulsion systems.

The introduction of nuclear weapons at the end of World War II began to change patterns of conflict between the remaining great powers – the United States and the Soviet Union. The advent of nuclear propulsion enabled the projection of power by naval force at greater distance over longer periods of time the limitations only being food for and fatigue of naval personnel. Combined, nuclear weapons and nuclear propulsion allowed for ballistic missile submarines to ply the deep with vigilance preserving the peace by threatening sudden destruction. War between the two remaining great powers, the United States and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, in the post-World War II era became unimaginable for fear of escalation to the use of nuclear weapons that would end with the destruction of the world. The advent of nuclear weapons and propulsion systems meant that traditional patterns of conflict in which great powers directly challenged each other through force of arms became much more risky. Indirect conflict between the United States and the Soviet Union through proxies and insurgencies during the Cold War took the place of patterns of direct great power conflict. Indirect conflict between the Cold War great powers induced a period of hyperactivity among developing nations as former colonies began to rebel against their colonizers, resorting to guerilla, insurgent, or terror based asymmetric warfare to achieve strategic objectives. Asymmetric warfare became the strategic choice for subaltern revolutionary organizations against better armed, trained, and equipped imperialist masters. As Internet connected devices exploded in the post-Cold War era, a new domain and new tools emerged by which subaltern militants could wage hostilities against superior foes risking little in way of defeat, destruction, or death. Most critically, the emergence of internet connected devices animated by social media technologies enabled poorly armed, trained, and

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/smartphone-guns-shooting-tweets/178701](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/smartphone-guns-shooting-tweets/178701)

## Related Content

---

### Data Transcription for India's Supreme Court Documents Using Deep Learning Algorithms

Vaissnave V. and P. Deepalakshmi (2020). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* (pp. 21-41).

[www.irma-international.org/article/data-transcription-for-indias-supreme-court-documents-using-deep-learning-algorithms/269391](http://www.irma-international.org/article/data-transcription-for-indias-supreme-court-documents-using-deep-learning-algorithms/269391)

### Evaluation and Information Technology

Bruce Rocheleau (2006). *Public Management Information Systems* (pp. 274-307).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/evaluation-information-technology/28226](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/evaluation-information-technology/28226)

### An Analytic Hierarchy Process for the Evaluation of E-Government Service Quality

Xenia Papadomichelaki, Vicky Koutsouris, Dimitrios Konstantinidis and Gregoris Mentzas (2013). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* (pp. 19-44).

[www.irma-international.org/article/analytic-hierarchy-process-evaluation-government/76927](http://www.irma-international.org/article/analytic-hierarchy-process-evaluation-government/76927)

### The State of Mobile Government in Turkey: Overview, Policy Issues, and Future Prospects

Mete Yildiz (2008). *Electronic Government: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 236-248).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/state-mobile-government-turkey/9708](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/state-mobile-government-turkey/9708)

### Impact of Local Self-Government Institutions on Creating a Business-Friendly Environment: Multi-Criteria Analysis

Jelena Stankovi and Igor Novakovi (2015). *Handbook of Research on Democratic Strategies and Citizen-Centered E-Government Services* (pp. 353-373).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-of-local-self-government-institutions-on-creating-a-business-friendly-environment/121330](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-of-local-self-government-institutions-on-creating-a-business-friendly-environment/121330)