

Managing People as a Leader



Bonnie Keene

Celebration High School, USA

Uta M. Stelson

Wayne State University Law School, USA

MANAGERS VS. LEADERS

Managers and leaders are often viewed to be one and the same. While it is true that a lot of the same requirements fall on the shoulders of managers and leaders, the two are distinctly different yet completely interwoven entities. Management exists to allow organizations to run effectively and efficiently by reducing chaos (Northouse, 2007). Fayol's five elements of management are explained as: planning, organizing, leading, supervising, and controlling (McNamara, 2009). Notice there is no mention of human resource elements of motivating, training, guiding, and leading individuals—yet leading people is often a task given to managers. To differentiate the two entities, leaders exist to encourage and ensure action among people to complete a task (Armstrong, 2011). Bennis and Nanus (1985) separate the categories of managers and leaders by stating, “Managers are people who do things right and leaders are people who do the right thing” (p. 221). This statement is popular, and it does mentally help to separate the two categories. It could imply that leaders are more concerned with an ethical decision-making process than managers, but that might not necessarily be the case. As cited by Northouse (2007), Bennis and Nanus separated the two categories by stating, “To manage means to accomplish activities and master routines, whereas to lead means to influence others and create visions of change” (Leadership and Management, para. 5). In order to be a leader, one has to have someone to lead. Managers do not have to have someone to manage. Therefore, leaders are more people oriented than managers.

This distinction makes perfect sense in the theoretical world. However, in reality, the two distinctive roles of managers and leaders become confusing. For any individual, situations arise where one has to change his or her current role or outlook to adapt to the new situation. Managing and leading are simply roles that individuals sometimes play in order to successfully navigate through situations in life. Life is not structured or consistent; therefore, individuals need to be prepared to rise to the occasion and become whatever role is needed for success. Dealing with others to complete tasks for each other, other individuals, and organization is critical no matter the management style. Because managing people is a process of learning through growth for both the leader and the individual, a helpful resource is Illeris's three-dimensional learning model to develop individuals cognitively, emotionally, and societally (Merriam, Caffarella, & Baumgartner, 2007). Growth allows for open mindedness and change to occur. Growth and learning are two essential components that all individuals no matter the level within the organization strive to achieve. Illeris's model also accepts the whole person. Growth and learning is for the whole individual not just the professional individual.

Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner (2004) looked into cultural differences in managing people, and explained the concept of creating success in teams through a look into roles played by team members. R. Meredith Belbin (1996) identified nine roles needed in any team: idea generator, shaper, resource investigator, coordinator, specialist, implementer, team worker, evaluator, and finisher. There is no dis-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1049-9.ch067

inction between who is managing and who is leading; in fact, most roles have a combination of leading and managing. While the development of the nine roles was intended for groups, it is possible for one person to play all nine roles at once. Individuals simply cannot be fixated to a single role or title, but must be ready to fit into any needed role or title. An individual's preference for the title of manager or leader does not matter. These titles and roles are simply one or more of the many "hats" individuals must wear throughout life, but they are not the only roles that leaders may take on. Other, possibly more important, roles include being a visionary and a task manager.

Visionary

Being a visionary person is to be someone with a future-oriented frame of mind. Visions of the future are always changing and developing (Blanchard & Bowles, 1993). People who look at ways to change current situations are visionaries. Visionaries are hopeful and optimistic about the future (Novak et al., 2014; Denny, 2006). They think of demanding and achievable goals for self and organization (Armstrong, 2011). Visionaries are usually self-motivated and are willing to try anything (Denny, 2006). Anyone can be visionary; however, the question is: when does a visionary become a leader?

Visionaries become leaders when they initiate, inspire, and continuously encourage change. It is important as a leader to first decide and make clear a vision or put frankly, "decide what you want" (Blanchard & Bowles, 1993, p. 21). When working on developing a vision, paying attention to different perspectives within one's community is essential (Novak et al., 2014). A visionary then needs to work to build her or his abilities as well as her or his confidence in himself or herself (Denny, 2006). Once the visionary has built up his or her self-confidence and understands his or her own vision, it is important to share the vision and plans with those being led (Armstrong, 2011). Becoming confident in oneself before sharing the vision is critical because criticism is inevitable (Denny, 2006). When criticisms arise, visionaries work to minimize criticisms of his or her vision by being adaptable, considering audiences, and understanding his or her vision in its entirety. The visionary who stands by his or her novel idea over the voices of the nay-sayers will share the illustrious company of many visionaries throughout history, including Galileo Galilei, who proposed heliocentrism and had to wait more than 350 years before the Catholic Church apologized for its handling of the controversy; Alexander Graham Bell, whose invention, the telephone, was considered an electrical toy of no value; and Henry Ford, whose automobile was considered to be a fad.

As a leader, involving others in the decision-making process is essential, especially when it comes to leading visions through to completion. Visions can only either grow or die (Blanchard & Bowles, 1993), and visionaries therefore need to look towards others to help their visions grow. They will also need to give those others a voice in the direction and future of the team or organization to empower them as a way to create buy-in (Armstrong, 2011). The visionary's true self-confidence thus allows for new or better ideas to bring the vision to fruition (Dweck, 2013).

According to Novak et. al. (2014), the foundation of leadership can be broken down into four components: structural, human relations, political, and symbolic leadership.

Structural leadership deals with the wherewithal and rationality necessary for "getting the job done," human relations leadership is about productivity with people, developing and managing people, political leadership focuses on honestly facing the reality and constraints of power relationships and the limited availability of resources, symbolic leadership means attending to the intangibles that spur the motivation to carry on during difficult times. (p. 5)

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/managing-people-as-a-leader/173575

Related Content

Importing Complexity Leadership Theory Into Bureaucratic Organizations in Non-Western Environments: A Perspective and Agenda for Future Research

Francis Donkorand Isaac Sekyere (2020). *International Journal of Applied Management Theory and Research* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/importing-complexity-leadership-theory-into-bureaucratic-organizations-in-non-western-environments/260735

Understanding the Dependence Structure Between the Futures and Spot Prices of Wheat in Egypt

Osama Ahmedand Fadi Abdelradi (2019). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 20-37).

www.irma-international.org/article/understanding-the-dependence-structure-between-the-futures-and-spot-prices-of-wheat-in-egypt/223929

The Economic Determinants of Food Security in the MENA Region

Ahmet Ali Koç, Oznur Ozdamarand Peyman Uysal (2017). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-economic-determinants-of-food-security-in-the-mena-region/185527

Analyzing Consumer Impulse Purchasing Behaviour Using Observational Data

Yuliia Kyrdoda, George Baltasand A.Malek Hammami (2018). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 16-28).

www.irma-international.org/article/analyzing-consumer-impulse-purchasing-behaviour-using-observational-data/210635

Variation on the Effects of the 2003 CAP Reform and Regional Differences in the Italian Olive Oil Sector

Valentina Sabbatini, Yiorgos Gadanakisand Francisco Areal (2016). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 48-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/variation-on-the-effects-of-the-2003-cap-reform-and-regional-differences-in-the-italian-olive-oil-sector/145325