Chapter 6 Neuroscience Applications in Financial Markets: A Practitioner's Perspective

Alessia Falsarone PineBridge Investments, USA

ABSTRACT

The 2007-2008 Global Financial Crisis provided strong evidence of social dynamics in global financial markets which challenge basic rationality of independent decision makers when choices invoke short term trade-offs as opposed to sustainable long term socio-economic value creation and its ethical implications. Individual market participants are drawn into a Darwinian review of today's decision making environment in which they are called to make choices under systemic uncertainty. Sophisticated visualization tools from fMRI to PET scans allow for brain functions and decision making behaviors to be analyzed in an evolutionary context. From the theory of bounded rationality to its modern critiques by behavioralists, this chapter investigates how social learning may be at its best right at this moment, when ambiguity and known unknowns are extensive and expected utility frameworks are being replaced by local optima findings and "good enough" solutions.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1028-4.ch006

Copyright ©2017, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

INTRODUCTION

As an emerging field of research, *neurofinance* brings together socioeconomic theories and novel experimental findings from the cognitive behavioral sciences. Through the study of individual biases, social learning, cognitively engrained behaviors, and mere intuition, decision makers today are urged to overcome ambiguity and uncertainty by establishing cooperative networks to learn from, while allowing the simplest, evidence-based heuristics to guide them toward satisfactory outcomes. Social capital theory and mindfulness studies introduce a powerful means to an end: "good enough" outcomes at the individual decision level are indeed most likely to contribute to the rise of cooperative networks in today's fast-paced, fully interconnected system represented by global capital markets.

Background

In the context of this chapter, the term *neurofinance* encompasses all financial applications of neuroscience disciplines, ranging from market microstructure to the behavior of the decision makers that commit to transactions through capital markets. Among practitioners, neurofinance has emerged as an independent field of study in the aftermath of the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) of 2007-2008. The ethical aspects of short-term seeking behaviors faced unparalleled scrutiny as a result of the crisis. In particular, the GFC brought about the need to reassess the essence of decision making and what makes decisions value accretive or not and to whom. Since then, the focus of market participants (e.g., investors, regulators, and the broader investment community) has shifted from the evaluation of short-term outcomes to the assessment of long-term consequences on economic growth, with the ultimate goal of benefiting society at large. As a result, the market participant him/herself goes from being thought of as a pure utility-driven economic agent to a human decision maker. Personality traits, heuristic tendencies, and inner biases take center stage. The commonly accepted assumption of fully rational economic agents comes to question at the same time as new frontiers in academic research blend empirical findings with existing intuition from a wide variety of disciplines (e.g., biology, psychology, chemistry, ecology, and sociology, to name just a few).

For over a century, the founding principle of traditional finance, the *Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH)*, had postulated the maximization of expected utility measures as a key driver behind individual decision making. New empirical processes, which directly challenge the EMH, continue to be evaluated and tested. Challenging economic theories are nothing new to industry practitioners. Businesses that adopted the computational principles of mathematical finance, as well as its complexities, throughout the 1970s and 1980s found themselves challenged by the myth of full

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/neuroscience-applications-in-financial-</u> markets/170263

Related Content

Learning in Organizations: Some Observations from the Practice

A.D. Amarand Cathal Walsh (2016). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals (pp. 50-60).* www.irma-international.org/article/learning-in-organizations/163410

Integrating COBIT 5 PAM and TIPA for ITIL Using an Ontology Matching System

Rafael Almeida, Paloma Andrade Gonçalves, Inês Percheiro, Miguel Mira da Silvaand César Pardo (2020). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals (pp. 74-93).*

www.irma-international.org/article/integrating-cobit-5-pam-and-tipa-for-itil-using-an-ontologymatching-system/252848

Featuring CIO: Roles, Skills and Soft Skills

Carmen Cano, Luis Fernández-Sanzand Sanjay Misra (2013). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals (pp. 22-33).* www.irma-international.org/article/featuring-cio-roles-skills-soft/76303

Social Media Bullying in the Workplace: Impacts on Motivation, Productivity, and Workplace Culture

Marcia Marie Herron (2021). *Handbook of Research on Cyberbullying and Online Harassment in the Workplace (pp. 72-89).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-media-bullying-in-the-workplace/263421

Universal Human Rights and Employee Privacy: Questioning Employer Monitoring of Computer Usage

Stephen Coleman (2005). *Electronic Monitoring in the Workplace: Controversies and Solutions (pp. 276-295).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/universal-human-rights-employee-privacy/10024