

Automated Scoring of Chinese Engineering Students' English Essays

Ming Liu, School of Computer and Information Science, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

Yuqi Wang, School of Computer and Information Science, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

Weiwei Xu, College of International Studies, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

Li Liu, School of Software Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China

ABSTRACT

The number of Chinese engineering students has increased greatly since 1999. Rating the quality of these students' English essays has thus become time-consuming and challenging. This paper presents a novel automatic essay scoring algorithm called PSO-SVR, based on a machine learning algorithm, Support Vector Machine for Regression (SVR), and a computational intelligence algorithm, Particle Swarm Optimization, which optimizes the parameters of SVR kernel functions. Three groups of essays, written by chemical, electrical and computer science engineering majors respectively, were used for evaluation. The study result shows that this PSO-SVR outperforms traditional essay scoring algorithms, such as multiple linear regression, support vector machine for regression and K Nearest Neighbor algorithm. It indicates that PSO-SVR is more robust in predicting irregular datasets, because the repeated use of simple content words may result in the low score of an essay, even though the system detects higher cohesion but no spelling error.

KEYWORDS

Computer Uses in Education, Language, Text Analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

Rating essays is a costly, laborious and time-consuming effort, which is especially true in China due to the large number of students. Statistics show that the number of college students in China has soared to twenty-six million in 2013 (Bureau of Statistics of China, 2013) including more than ten million engineering students, making up the largest proportion of English as Second Language (ESL) learners worldwide. Since 1987, the writing test has become an important aspect in the College English testing in China. Essay writing is the fourth part of these tests. Trained English teachers manually rate the essays due to the nature of subjectivity and creativity of essay writing. However, rating essays is a time-consuming effort and at the same time the ratings are prone to the subjective judgment of the trained English teachers leading to inconsistent and unreliable scores due to the impact of fatigue, deadlines or biases.

Computer aided assessment (CAA) has become an important educational technology (Clark & Byl, 2007) since it reduces teacher work-loads (Peat, Franklin, & Lewis, 2001), provides timely feedback to students (Sheard & Carbone, 2000), reduces in educational material development and delivery costs (Jefferies, 2000), and proliferate online education (White, 2000). Research in computer-based essay scoring, referred to as automatic essay scoring (AES), has been a real and viable alternative

and complement to human scoring for more than 40 years (Shermis & Burstein, 2003). AES systems do not actually read or understand essays as humans do. Whereas human raters may directly evaluate various intrinsic features, such as diction, fluency and grammar, in order to produce an essay score, the AES systems rely on a statistical scoring model, which combines these features and approximates a final machine-generated score of the essay. In general, the task of automated grading can be viewed as a regression problem in which the objective is to find a set of features that represent the essays and serve as inputs of the regression methods. Regression algorithms are utilized to estimate the weights of each term (i.e. feature) in the regression equation so that the prediction performance can be optimized with regard to the actual values of the variable to be predicted/explained by the model.

Many AES systems, such as e-rater and PEG (Attali & Burstein, 2006; Page, 1966; Warschauer & Ware, 2006), based on a multiple linear regression model with predefined textual features extracted by using computational linguistic tools. Another approach to AES is based on Latent Semantic Analysis technique (Landauer, McNamara, Dennis, & Kintsch, 2007) such as Intelligent Essay Assessor (Foltz, Streeter, Lochbaum, & Landauer, 2013; Landauer, Laham, & Foltz, 2003) and IntelliMetric (Elliot, 2003; Rudner, Garcia, & Welch, 2006). But, this approach requires a large training corpus for a specific essay prompt. More recently, McNamara et al. (2015) proposed a hierarchical classification approach to automated essay scoring. In this study, we extend the traditional linear regression model to the non-linear regression model for automated essay scoring since the qualities of ESL writing do not linear relationship with the textual features.

With the advanced development of natural language processing techniques, many intelligent text analysis tools (Biber, 1988; McNamara, Graesser, McCarthy, & Cai, 2014; Pennebaker & Francis, 1999) were developed to analyze and extract rich textual features for building automated essay scoring models. The Biber Tagger (Biber, Conrad, & Reppen, 1998) automatically computes features for lexical sophistication (e.g., word length), cohesion and rhetorical features (e.g. conjuncts and emphatics), grammatical features (e.g. nouns and verbs), and clause-level features (e.g. subordinations and passives). Similar to the Biber Tagger, Coh-Metrix (McNamara et al., 2014) calculates a number of text-based linguistic features related to lexical sophistication (word frequency, word concreteness, word familiarity, polysemy, hypernymy), syntactic complexity (incidence of infinitives, phrase length, number of words before the main verb), and cohesion (word overlap, semantic similarity, incidence of connectives). LIWC is an automated word analysis tool which reports the percentage of words in a text that are in certain psychological categories (Pennebaker, Booth, & Francis, 2007). The categories include linguistic processes (e.g. pronouns, past tense), psychological processes (e.g., social processes, cognitive processes, perceptual processes), personal constructs (e.g., work, religion), and paralinguistic dimensions (e.g., speech disfluencies). With these tools, the AES systems show correlations with human judgments of essay quality that range between .60 and .85 (McNamara, Crossley, & Roscoe, 2013; Rudner et al., 2006). In this study, we used the Coh-Metrix for textual feature extraction since it was commonly used in many AES research (Crossley & McNamara, 2011).

Although several automated systems are already available, they are not specifically developed for most ESL learners (Burstein & Chodorow, 1999; Lonsdale & Strong-Krause, 2003). Burstein and Chodorow (1999) evaluated the performance of the e-rater system TM on Test of Written English (TWE) essay responses written by non-native English speakers whose native language is Chinese, Arabic or Spanish. They found that the interaction of language group was significant ($F_{(4,1128)} = 12.397, p < .001$), reflecting higher scores for human scores than for the system in some groups (e.g., Spanish) and lower scores for human scores than for e-rater in others (e.g. Chinese). Chinese ESL Researchers (Ge, 2010; Liang, 2004; X. Liu, 2008) have identified some issues when applying existing AES systems in Chinese ESL context. For example, ESL students have their own

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/automated-scoring-of-chinese-engineering-students-english-essays/169205

Related Content

Comparison and Transition of Research Focus on Application of IT in Education: Literature Keyword Analysis

Yuyao Li, Aftab Akramand Yong Tang (2022). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 1-24).

www.irma-international.org/article/comparison-and-transition-of-research-focus-on-application-of-it-in-education/288545

Dynamic Group Formation based on a Natural Phenomenon

Amina Zedadra, Yacine Lafifiand Ouarda Zedadra (2016). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 13-26).

www.irma-international.org/article/dynamic-group-formation-based-on-a-natural-phenomenon/164525

Distance Education in Small Island Nations

Ali Fawaz Shareefand Kinshuk (2008). *Online and Distance Learning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2517-2528).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/distance-education-small-island-nations/27567

Secure Soap-Based Web Services for Distance Education

K. Komathy, P. Vivekanandanand V. Ramachandran (2003). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 72-86).

www.irma-international.org/article/secure-soap-based-web-services/1610

A Design and Implementation of a SCORM-Based Courseware System Using Influence Diagram

Flora Chia-I Chang, Lun-Ping Hung, Huan-Chao Keh, Wen-Chih Changand Timothy K. Shih (2005). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 82-96).

www.irma-international.org/article/design-implementation-scorm-based-courseware/1659