## Chapter 52

# The Impact of Video Self– Analysis on the Development of Preservice Teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)

James E. Jang Syracuse University, USA

**Jing Lei**Syracuse University, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

Teachers often teach on their own in their individual classrooms and thus have to mostly rely on themselves to reflect on their teaching practices and make improvements. This study explores the potential of using a video self-analysis component in an undergraduate technology integration course to help preservice teachers effectively integrate technology into instruction. Specifically, this study explores the impact of video self-analysis on developing preservice teachers Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). Results reveal video self-analysis was beneficial in helping preservice teachers facilitate their TPACK development. However, participants TPACK development varied within the six TPACK knowledge domains.

#### INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, scholars, researchers, and practitioners have shown considerable interest in designing and developing instructional strategies that can help teachers improve their ability to teach with technology (e.g., Hofer & Grandgenett, 2012; Koehler & Mishra, 2005a, 2005b). A teachers' ability to effectively teach with educational technologies is vital, because studies have shown teaching with technology can help enhance students learning (Beetham & Sharpe, 2013; Gulek & Demirtas, 2005;

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1624-8.ch052

Wenglinsky, 1998), students' academic achievement (Cheung, 2013; Lei, 2010; Schacter, 1999), and students' engagement (Abrami, 2001; Wankel & Blessinger, 2013).

The importance and benefits of teaching with technology has been emphasized in many public discussions and national policies. For example, the fourth National Education Technology Plan titled: *Transforming American Education: Learning Powered by Technology*, was released by the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Educational Technology (OET) in 2010 (U.S. Department of Education, 2010). This plan called for integrating educational technologies into the entire U.S. education system in order to "enhance student learning, accelerate and scale up the adoption of effective practices, and use data and information for continuous improvement" (U.S. Department of Education, 2010, p. v).

However, even with initiatives and efforts designed to help teachers adopt educational technologies (e.g., Preparing Tomorrow's Teachers to use Technology-PT3, Enhancing Education Through Technology-EETT) (Harris & Walling, 2014); teachers are still not embracing or teaching with educational technologies (Mouza, Karchmer-Klein, Nandakumar, Yilmaz Ozden, & Hu, 2014). Recent studies have revealed that one major reason teachers are not adopting technology into their classroom instruction is because their teacher preparation programs did not adequately prepare them to do so (Banas & York, 2014; Chai, Koh, & Tsai, 2010).

Currently, teacher preparation programs have embraced the collaborative learning instructional paradigm as a means to prepare the next generation of teachers to effectively teach with technology (e.g., Chase Martin & Sadera, 2011; Johnson, 2014). However, the teaching profession has been well documented as being largely done in isolation (Darling-Hammond, L., Chung Wei, R., & Alethea, N., & Orphanos, S., 2009; Lowrie, 2014), and not in a collaborative learning environment (Burke, Schuck, Aubusson, Buchanan, Louviere, & Prescott, 2013; Ingersoll & Smith, 2003). To reflect the realities and solitary nature of the teaching profession, this study examines the use of a video self-analysis component in an undergraduate technology integration course, and explores its impact on facilitating preservice teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). This study will address the following research question: What impact does video self-analysis have on facilitating preservice teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)?

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

### **Current Preservice Teacher Technology Preparation Paradigm**

In an effort to prepare the next generation of educators meet the challenges of teaching in a 21<sup>st</sup> century classroom; 98% of teacher preparation programs in the U.S. now mandate preservice teachers to receive some form of training on educational technologies (AACTE, 2013, p. 10). These educational technology courses typically cover basic technological skills (Belland, 2009), while also developing preservice teachers technology integration skills through some form of collaborative design teams (e.g., Johnson, 2014; Lee, Smith, & Bos, 2014). Although studies have shown that collaboration and the use of design team are helpful in developing preservice teachers TPACK (e.g., Johnson, 2014); recent studies suggest teachers are still unprepared to effectively teach with technology (Banas & York, 2014; Niess, 2011; Ottenbreit-Leftwich, Brush, Strycker, Gronseth, Roman, Abaci, & Plucker, 2012; U.S. Department of Education, 2010). Effectively teaching with technology is a complex process (Koehler & Mishra, 2009),

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-impact-of-video-self-analysis-on-the-development-of-preservice-teachers-technological-pedagogical-content-knowledge-tpack/169052

#### Related Content

### Neuro Leadership for Happiness Management: A Pathway to Transforming Workplace Culture

Nidhi Srivastavaand Isha Bhardwaj (2024). *Building Organizational Resilience With Neuroleadership (pp. 30-35).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/neuro-leadership-for-happiness-management/343744

#### Disruptive Technologies and Education: Is There Any Disruption After All?

Kin Wai Michael Siuand Giovanni Jesue Contreras García (2017). *Educational Leadership and Administration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 757-778).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/disruptive-technologies-and-education/169036

#### Teaching Ethics to Engineering Students in India: Issues and Challenges

Reena Cheruvalath (2016). *Leadership and Personnel Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1394-1405).* 

 $\underline{www.irma-international.org/chapter/teaching-ethics-to-engineering-students-in-india/146447}$ 

## Black and Brown Women Fostering Authentic Activism in Counseling Programs Amid Social Unrest

Monique Willis, Jotika Jagasiaand Ada Robinson-Perez (2021). *Black and Brown Leadership and the Promotion of Change in an Era of Social Unrest (pp. 149-183).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/black-and-brown-women-fostering-authentic-activism-in-counseling-programs-amid-social-unrest/284641

#### Adaptable Learning Theory Framework for Technology-Enhanced Learning

Byron Havard, Marlene L. East, Lakshmi Prayagaand Alex Whiteside (2017). *Educational Leadership and Administration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 611-634).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/adaptable-learning-theory-framework-for-technology-enhanced-learning/169029