Chapter 14

Measuring What Matters: The UW Flexible Option's Framework to Measure Success from the Student Vantage Point

Aaron Brower

University of Wisconsin – Extension, USA

Rebecca Karoff

University of Texas System, USA

Sandra Kallio

University of Wisconsin – Extension, USA

Mark Mailloux

University of Wisconsin – Extension, USA

David Schejbal

University of Wisconsin – Extension, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter demonstrates that competency-based education requires a different set of student success metrics, and introduces the metrics framework developed by and for the University of Wisconsin's UW Flexible Option (UW Flex). UW Flex is a direct assessment competency-based self-paced model for earning degrees and certificates from institutions in the UW System. It was supported by a grant from Lumina Foundation to develop a competency-based education blueprint for success and includes a set of student-centric metrics meaningful to the model, the curriculum, and the students who are being served in Flex programs. The framework defines student success as students moving through programs at their own pace, demonstrating mastery of subject matter, and meeting academic goals. Program-level metrics aggregate each of these three student-level metrics to provide useful information about the success of a program. The authors also build the case that strategic management of resources is required to overcome challenges inherent in implementing the UW Flexible Option metrics framework.

INTRODUCTION

Long-held measures of student success, such as retention and graduation rates, are rooted in the traditional academic term. The credit hour has driven determinations of student success in American higher education for more than a century, deeply affecting the architecture, delivery, and accountability of postsecondary academic programs (Laitinen, 2012). There are powerful reasons why conventional success

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0932-5.ch014

Measuring What Matters

metrics are grounded in the credit hour. Factors include the higher education regulatory environment, entrenched academic calendars, and visibility to policy-makers and the general public.

The paradigm of credit hour-based metrics was established in the early 1900s to measure faculty workload, primarily for pension purposes. Over time, the paradigm evolved in imperfect ways to gauge student success, student learning, and a range of other institutional functions from admissions to financial aid. Much of the evolution of the credit hour into a proxy for student learning emerged from the regulatory environment. The U.S. Department of Education ("the Department") adopted the credit hour to standardize the unit of transaction between students and their institutions of higher learning, equating time with learning. Credit hour-based metrics have been particularly well suited for traditional residence-based colleges and universities, with physical classrooms and traditional students in them, as the dominant model for the latter half of the 20th century.

The Department's data center, the National Center for Education Statistics' Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), tracks student success measures that are determined almost exclusively on the credit hour (https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/). The IPEDS obtains the required, standardized higher education data from every college, university, and technical and vocational institution that participates in federal student financial aid programs. Chief among their data collection efforts is an annual survey that collects data on time- and cohort-defined retention and graduation rates (Institutional Retention and Graduation Rates for Undergraduate Students, 2014). The Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, requires institutions participating in federal student aid programs to report data on enrollments, program completions, graduation rates, faculty and staff, finances, institutional prices, and student financial aid. These data are made available to students, parents, researchers, and the public.

The measures that IPEDS gathers tend to be directed toward program and institutional success rather than individual student-level progress and success. Some examples of success as determined by credit hour-based metrics include:

- High first- to second-year retention rate among first-time, full-time students.
- High four-, five- and six-year graduation rates.
- Selectivity represented by various admissions yields.
- High financial aid/low cost to attend.
- Core revenues per full-time equivalent by source.
- Abundant on-campus opportunities for student engagement.
- High percentage of teaching staff with a terminal degree.

Other more public facing college evaluation systems also base their assessments on IPEDS data. In response primarily to state and federal policy-makers, for example, the Voluntary System of Accountability (VSA) is a recent initiative by public four-year universities to supply clear, accessible, and comparable information on the undergraduate experience through a web-based report called "The College Portrait" (http://www.collegeportraits.org/). This report predominantly provides IPEDS data. VSA also includes indirect measures of student learning in critical thinking, which is a step toward bringing student learning into the reporting environment.

Popular media that use IPEDS data, including *U.S. News and World Report*, hold enormous sway over public perceptions of the quality and selectivity of American higher education institutions through rankings of colleges and universities. While largely based on IPEDS data, these rankings also incorporate

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/measuring-what-matters/167908

Related Content

Incorporating Physics Principles in General Biology to Promote Integrative Learning and Thinking

Tennille D. Presley, Noelle A. Harp, Latrise S. Holt, Destini Samueland Jill JoAnn Harp (2021). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (pp. 1-19).*

www.irma-international.org/article/incorporating-physics-principles-in-general-biology-to-promote-integrative-learning-and-thinking/278401

Learning Design Thinking Through a Hands-On Learning Model

Norman Gwangwava (2021). International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/learning-design-thinking-through-hands/274939

Cheaters Beware: (Re)designing Assessment Practices to Reduce Academic Misconduct

Donna M. Velliarisand Janine M. Pierce (2019). *Prevention and Detection of Academic Misconduct in Higher Education (pp. 1-38).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cheaters-beware/227086

Leveraging Online Communities for Building Social Capital in University Libraries: A Case Study of Fudan University Medical Library

Yuanjun Ni, Apple Hiu Ching Lamand Dickson K. W. Chiu (2024). *Balance and Boundaries in Creating Meaningful Relationships in Online Higher Education (pp. 266-289).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/leveraging-online-communities-for-building-social-capital-in-university-libraries/335546

Open Educational Resources in Higher Education: Two Approaches to Enhance the Utilization of OER

Lubna Ali, Colette Knightand Ulrik Schroeder (2022). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/open-educational-resources-in-higher-education/313374