

Chapter 22

Global Higher Education and VUCA – Volatility, Uncertainty, Complexity, Ambiguity

Pamela A. Lemoine

University of Louisiana at Lafayette, USA

P. Thomas Hackett

Columbus State University, USA

Michael D. Richardson

Columbus State University, USA

ABSTRACT

VUCA describes today's chaotic, turbulent, and rapidly changing education environment, which is the new educational normal. VUCA; volatility, uncertainty, complexity, ambiguity, terms coined for the military world also describes today's education world. As a result, educational leaders face the uncertainty of workforce reductions and budget cuts affecting the process of increasing student performance. In addition, rapid changes in technology are constant and ambiguity reigns as mandates for change increase. In today's education world VUCA, the chaotic "new normal" is real. The financial crisis of 2008-2009, for example, rendered many businesses obsolete, and organizations throughout the world were plunged into turbulent economic environments. At the same time, rapid changes marched forward as technological developments like social media exploded, the world's population continued to simultaneously grow and age, and global disasters disrupted lives, economies, and businesses. In the new normal, higher education institutions are caught in a critically demanding and increasing unknown present and future characterized by volatility, uncertainty, complexity and ambiguity.

INTRODUCTION

In 2016, as the new normal unfolds; "geopolitical tensions, conflicts around the world", as well as unprecedented issues with immigration to Europe and in the United States are effecting globalization and economic stability. International economic concerns along with past recessions have left nations

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0672-0.ch022

providing decreasing resources for higher education while demanding increases in productivity (Sala-i-Martin, Crotti, Battista, Hanouz, Galvan, Geiger, & Marti, 2015, p. 3).

Higher education institutions, once place-bound, are no longer confined to traditional “brick and mortar” buildings; access to technology has facilitated access to education (Ball, Dworkin & Vryonides, 2010; Glenn, 2008). Anytime/anywhere access to education provides a significant instrument for permitting enhanced economic prosperity as well as social participation of people, demonstrating both the function of democracy and a learning society (Asia Society, 2012). Across international borders, education is viewed as a crucial economic outcome of globalization. Important international decision makers, policy-makers and politicians consider education to be a tradable commodity (Bosworth & Collins, 2008; Tsiligris, 2014).

Economic considerations related to international competitiveness have become a significant driving strength behind the internationalization of learning (Chan & Dimmock, 2008; Cooper, Hersch, & O’Leary, 2012). Along with the movement of goods and general services, the movement of educational services and products has improved significantly in the last decade (Lane & Maznevski, 2014). Education is increasingly seen not only as an export commodity, but also as a key national brand for a nation’s knowledge proficiency (Tsiligris, 2014). Knowledge institutions, whether private or public, are regarded as significant stakeholders in a country’s global and local competitiveness (Levey & Levey, 2013; Sahlberg, 2006; Schwab & Sala-i-Martin, 2015).

As learning becomes increasingly borderless, education ranks increasingly higher on national agendas (Etzkowitz, 2014). Developing countries view increasing education participation as crucial to their transition to developed country standing (Bashir, 2007; Wade, 2008, 2009). The argument that education is a major driver of economic competitiveness in an increasingly knowledge-driven global economy is now widely accepted (David & Halvert, 2015; Wilkinson, 2013). Education drives the economy, but what drives educational productivity?

Globalization with changes in the world’s economy, increasing diversity, and the ubiquitous use of technology is affecting education (Xu, 2007). In a push to be globally competitive, every country, large or small, is tackling educational reform (Jandhvala, 2015). Countries wish to prepare their citizens, who are increasingly diverse in national origin, language, religion, ethnicity, educational background, gender and race, to be globally workforce ready (Skiba, 2015; Lawson, Sanders & Smith, 2015; Zhao, 2012).

Educational attainment affects local and global competitiveness because 80 percent of new jobs created in the global knowledge driven economy will require advanced education (Lemoine & Richardson, 2015). The right to be educated, to acquire knowledge to overcome poverty, and increase social position has been called the great equalizer; however, traditional education is not equally accessible by all citizens because the world is changing rapidly (Barber, Donnelly & Rizvi, 2012; Caminada & Martin, 2012). Technology is transforming lives and living, but education is both a human right in itself and an independent means of realizing other human rights (Zhao, 2012).

Education offers each individual and nation the best chance to survive an unknown future, cope with uncertainty, adapt to evolving conditions and learn how to learn (Darling-Hammond, 2010). As economic pressures to compete have increased, globalization has blurred boundaries of national origins, language, religion, ethnicity, gender, and race; as populations move to changing job markets, education has not remained immune from increases in diversity of policy and politics (Allen, 2012; Dyson, 2015). One common factor is a long-term commitment to education reform by policymakers in high-performing countries (Chen & Kenney, 2007; Barber & Mourshed, 2007, 2009; Mourshed & Barber, 2009).

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/global-higher-education-and-vuca--volatility-uncertainty-complexity-ambiguity/167388

Related Content

Andragogy and Higher Education in Late Capitalism: A Critique

Howard A. Doughty (2023). *Handbook of Research on Andragogical Leadership and Technology in a Modern World* (pp. 33-54).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/andragogy-and-higher-education-in-late-capitalism/322971

Interview

Olen Gunnlaugson (2014). *Perspectives on Theory U: Insights from the Field* (pp. 244-250).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/interview/94895

Teachers' Knowledge about Asthma and Asthma Management in Inclusive Classrooms in Trinidad and Tobago

Elna Carrington-Blaidess and Myrna Ransome (2017). *Assessing the Current State of Education in the Caribbean* (pp. 174-193).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/teachers-knowledge-about-asthma-and-asthma-management-in-inclusive-classrooms-in-trinidad-and-tobago/168959

Australian Universities' RPL Policies and Practices: What Knowledge Counts?

Tim Pitman and Lesley Vidovich (2016). *Open Learning and Formal Credentialing in Higher Education: Curriculum Models and Institutional Policies* (pp. 18-33).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/australian-universities-rpl-policies-and-practices/135638

Gamification: Athletic Coaching vs. Executive Coaching

Janice E. Perkins (2024). *Navigating the Coaching and Leadership Landscape: Strategies and Insights for Success* (pp. 96-118).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gamification/341736