

Chapter 38

Analytics on Fireworks Algorithm Solving Problems with Shifts in the Decision Space and Objective Space

Shi Cheng

University of Nottingham Ningbo, China

Junfeng Chen

Hohai University, China

Quande Qin

Shenzhen University, China

Yuhui Shi

Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, China

Qingyu Zhang

Shenzhen University, China

ABSTRACT

Fireworks algorithms for solving problems with the optima shift in decision space and/or objective space are analyzed in this paper. The standard benchmark problems have several weaknesses in the research of swarm intelligence algorithms for solving single objective problems. The optimum is in the center of search range, and is the same at each dimension of the search space. The optimum shift in decision space and/or objective space could increase the difficulty of problem solving. A mapping strategy, modular arithmetic mapping, is utilized in the original fireworks algorithm to handle solutions out of search range. The solutions are implicitly guided to the center of search range for problems with symmetrical search range via this strategy. The optimization performance of fireworks algorithm on shift functions may be affected by this strategy. Four kinds of mapping strategies, which include mapping by modular arithmetic, mapping to the boundary, mapping to stochastic region, and mapping to limited stochastic region, are compared on problems with different dimensions and different optimum shift range. From experimental results, the fireworks algorithms with mapping to the boundary, or mapping to limited stochastic region obtain good performance on problems with the optimum shift. This is probably because the search tendency is kept in these two strategies. The definition of population diversity measurement is also proposed in this paper, from observation on population diversity changes, the useful information of fireworks algorithm solving different kinds of problems could be obtained.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0788-8.ch038

1. INTRODUCTION

An optimization problem in \mathcal{R}^n , or simply an optimization problem, is a mapping $f: \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^k$, where \mathcal{R}^n is termed as decision space (Adra, Dodd, & Griffin, *et al.*, 2009) (or parameter space (Jin & Sendhoff, 2009), problem space), and \mathcal{R}^k is termed as objective space (Sundaram, 1996). Swarm intelligence is based on a population of individuals (Kennedy, Eberhart, & Shi, 2001). In swarm intelligence, an algorithm maintains and successively improves a collection of potential solutions until some stopping condition is met. The solutions are initialized randomly in the search space. The search information is propagated through the interaction among solutions. With solutions' converging and/or diverging behaviors, solutions are guided toward the better and better areas.

In swarm intelligence algorithms, there is a population of solutions which exist at the same time. The premature convergence may happen due to solutions getting clustered together too fast. The population diversity is a measure of exploration and exploitation status. Based on the population diversity changing measurement, the state of exploration and exploitation can be obtained. The population diversity definition is the first step to give an accurate observation of the search state. Many studies of population diversity in evolutionary computation algorithms and swarm intelligence have been developed (Burke, Gustafson, & Kendall, 2002; Cheng, & Shi, 2011; Cheng, Shi, & Qin, 2011; Cheng, 2013; Cheng, Shi, & Qin, 2013; Mauldin, 1984; Shi, & Eberhart, 2008; Shi, & Eberhart, 2009).

The concept of developmental swarm intelligence algorithms was proposed in Shi (2014). The developmental swarm intelligence algorithm should have two kinds of ability: capability learning and capacity developing. The Capacity Developing focuses on moving the algorithm's search to the area(s) where higher searching potential may be possessed, while the capability learning focuses on its actual searching from the current solution for single point based optimization algorithms and from the current population for population-based swarm intelligence algorithms.

The capacity developing is a top-level learning or macro-level learning. The capacity developing could be the learning ability of an algorithm to adaptively change its parameters, structures, and/or its learning potential according to the search states on the problem to be solved. In other words, the capacity developing is the search strength possessed by an algorithm. The capability learning is a bottom-level learning or micro-level learning. The capability learning is the ability for an algorithm to find better solution(s) from current solution(s) with the learning capacity it is possessing (Shi, 2014).

The Fireworks algorithm (FWA) (Tan, & Zhu, 2010; Tan, Yu, & Zheng, *et al.*, 2013) and brain storm optimization (BSO) (Cheng, Shi, & Qin, *et al.*, 2014; Shi, 2011a; Shi, 2011b) algorithm are two good examples of developmental swarm intelligence (DSI) algorithms. The "good enough" optimum could be obtained through solutions' diverging and converging in the search space. In FWA algorithm, mimicking the fireworks exploration, the new solutions are generated by the exploration of existed solutions. While in BSO algorithm, the solutions are clustered into several categories, and new solutions are generated by the mutation of clusters or existed solutions. The capacity developing, i.e., the adaptation in search, is another common feature in these two algorithms.

Swarm intelligence is based on a population of individuals. In swarm intelligence, an algorithm maintains and successively improves a collection of potential solutions until some stopping condition is met. The solutions are initialized randomly in the search space, and are guided toward the better and better areas through the interaction among solutions. Mathematically, the updating process of population of individuals over iterations can be viewed as a mapping process from one population of individuals

29 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/analytics-on-fireworks-algorithm-solving-problems-with-shifts-in-the-decision-space-and-objective-space/161060

Related Content

Phony News Detection in Reddit Using Natural Language Techniques and Machine Learning Pipelines

Srinivas Jagirdar and Venkata Subba K. Reddy (2021). *International Journal of Natural Computing Research* (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/article/phony-news-detection-in-reddit-using-natural-language-techniques-and-machine-learning-pipelines/298996

Nature Inspired Parallel Computing

Dingju Zhu (2009). *Handbook of Research on Artificial Immune Systems and Natural Computing: Applying Complex Adaptive Technologies* (pp. 496-519).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/nature-inspired-parallel-computing/19659

Feature Selection for Bankruptcy Prediction: A Multi-Objective Optimization Approach

A. Gaspar-Cunha, F. Mendes, J. Duarte, A. Vieira, B. Ribeiro, A. Ribeiro and J. Neves (2012). *Nature-Inspired Computing Design, Development, and Applications* (pp. 158-178).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/feature-selection-bankruptcy-prediction/66776

Automatic Tuning of PSSs and PODs Using a Parallel Differential Evolution Algorithm

Marcelo Favoretto Castoldi, Sérgio Carlos Mazucato Júnior, Danilo Sipoli Sanches, Carolina Ribeiro Rodrigues and Rodrigo Andrade Ramos (2014). *International Journal of Natural Computing Research* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/automatic-tuning-of-psss-and-pods-using-a-parallel-differential-evolution-algorithm/104690

An Artificial Neural Network Model as the Decision Support System of Ports

Can Elmar Balas (2017). *Nature-Inspired Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 476-499).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-artificial-neural-network-model-as-the-decision-support-system-of-ports/161039