

Chapter 2

Collaborations in Liberal Arts Colleges in Support of Digital Humanities

Lisa M. McFall
Hamilton College, USA

Peter J. MacDonald
Hamilton College, USA

Janet Thomas Simons
Hamilton College, USA

Angel David Nieves
Hamilton College, USA

Gregory Lord
Hamilton College, USA

Steve Young
Hamilton College, USA

ABSTRACT

The field of digital humanities has been rapidly expanding over the course of the last decade. As such, academic institutions have been working to identify ways of supporting these new endeavors in a time of economic struggles. The Digital Humanities Initiative (DHi) at Hamilton College was conceived as one possible model of supporting digital humanities scholarship at a liberal arts institution. The DHi model relies heavily on collaboration among different teams in the Library and Information Technology Services across campus, and with institutions across the United States. DHi also has international partnerships that promote its goals in research, learning, and public humanities. This chapter will describe the various collaborations of DHi and offer suggestions for how others can implement similar support models at their institutions.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0323-1.ch002

INTRODUCTION

Collaboration, both across various teams within a single institution and across several institutions, is important for supporting and building innovative and sustainable projects. This is especially true in emerging fields such as digital humanities where researchers are pioneers in their fields, and structural support models and technological infrastructure are constantly evolving. Smaller institutions, such as liberal arts colleges, often rely on external collaboration to support such endeavors because they do not have the same resources that are available at larger institutions that may have more funding and more personnel on staff to tackle such projects. The Digital Humanities Initiative (DHi) was formed in 2009 and has received two Andrew W. Mellon Foundation grants, one in 2010 (Barrie, 2010) and one in 2013 (Foster, 2013), to develop digital humanities projects from the liberal arts perspective.

Digital Humanities and/or Humanities Computing have been in existence for some time now (see *Debates in the Humanities*, edited by Gold, 2012), but the technological advances of the past ten years have led to broader and deeper strategies in both research and digital publishing (Spiro, 2011). The potential of this digital scholarship remains untapped (Ayers, 2013) and the models and processes for developing and maintaining faculty research as both context and data/objects of study are constantly evolving (Barry, Knudson, Sprenkle, & Youngman, 2014). The goals of digital humanities (DH) practitioners generate challenges for institutions as they attempt to develop frameworks and support structures for digital humanities (Posner, 2013).

This changing landscape has generated activities that range across disciplines and practices (Roy, 2014) so it is not surprising that five years into the work of DHi, those involved with it are still asked, “What is digital humanities?” The Digital Humanities Initiative at Hamilton College has adopted the definition of digital humanities posed by *Digital Humanities Quarterly* (DHQ): “Digital humanities is a diverse and still emerging field that encompasses the practice of humanities research in and through information technology, and the [approaches or] exploration of how the humanities may evolve through their engagement with technology, media, and computational methods” (Alliance of Digital Humanities Organizations). The digital research “approaches” and methods in DHi activities are emphasized as the unifying theme across the interdisciplinary work that is done in DHi. Accepted research projects in DHi range across humanities and social sciences disciplines, but they all use digital research approaches in answering humanities based questions.

Collaboration across faculty, information technology, and library units is essential to DHi. Fay and Nyhan (2015) describe the breadth of current DH work in libraries and museums and make a powerful argument for the role of collaborations around best practices in library science and information technologies in digital humanities

28 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/collaborations-in-liberal-arts-colleges-in-support-of-digital-humanities/155409

Related Content

Features and Limitations of Ontologies for Coronavirus Data Management in Libraries

Enrique Wulff (2021). *Handbook of Research on Library Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic* (pp. 18-40).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/features-and-limitations-of-ontologies-for-coronavirus-data-management-in-libraries/272305

Information Seeking Behaviour in Changing ICT Environment: A Study of Alagappa Chettiar College of Engineering and Technology, Tamilnadu

G. Stephen and M. Murugan (2015). *Handbook of Research on Inventive Digital Tools for Collection Management and Development in Modern Libraries* (pp. 269-285).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-seeking-behaviour-in-changing-ict-environment/133969

Doctor's Awareness and Perception of Medical Library Resources and Services: A Case Study of Delta State University Teaching Hospital (Delsuth), Nigeria

Violet E. Ikolo (2020). *International Journal of Library and Information Services* (pp. 58-71).

www.irma-international.org/article/doctors-awareness-and-perception-of-medical-library-resources-and-services/253104

Authorship Pattern in Interdisciplinary Studies: Implications for Knowledge Transfer and Holistic Coverage of Nigeria

Muhammad Kabiru Usman (2018). *International Journal of Library and Information Services* (pp. 34-42).

www.irma-international.org/article/authorship-pattern-in-interdisciplinary-studies/206867

Information Literacy: A Challenge and Need for the Rural Communities in Manipur, India

Lairenlakpam Shanta Meitei (2019). *International Journal of Library and Information Services* (pp. 49-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/information-literacy/214913