Chapter 9 SOA Governance in Healthcare: Beyond Early Ideas to a Structured Framework

Konstantinos Koumaditis

University of Piraeus, Greece, & Aarhus University, Denmark

Marinos Themistocleous

University of Piraeus, Greece & University of Coimbra, Portugal

ABSTRACT

This chapter depicts the gradual development of a conceptual SOA Governance Framework tailored for healthcare organisations. The proposed framework presented herein is based on the authors' previous research and includes nine SOA Governance elements that need to be considered during the SOA process. The identification and conceptualisation of the elements were grounded in the normative literature and further developed to include healthcare specific aspects. This comes as a method to overcome the limitations identified in normative literature and enhance the elements' conceptualisation. Besides, the authors propose a unique design combining nine elements of SOA Governance with SOA Critical Success Factors (CSFs) and Healthcare Information Systems (HIS) challenges. This proposal aims to pinpoint attributes and guidelines for each element, required to successfully govern SOA and tackle longstanding HIS challenges. The framework is intended to be used as a decision supporting tool for SOA Governance in a healthcare setting.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-9870-3.ch009

INTRODUCTION

Economic conditions demand that Healthcare Information Technologies (HIS) deliver ever more business value. Today, HIS play a more and more important role in the provision of healthcare. The scope and complexity of HIS projects has increased, requiring excellent project management to fully realize the benefits of such an investment. Unfortunately, healthcare projects are associated with considerable failure rates or having significant cost or budget overruns (Kaplan & Harris-Salamone, 2009). Both research and anecdotal evidence suggests that many HIS projects struggle to meet functionality and quality targets. Research has identified multiple reasons for these challenges in HIS projects, such as: project escalation, poor risk management, failure to manage user expectations, poor software development or project management processes, or inability to learn from past mistakes and successes (Dwivedi et al., 2013; Lunt et al., 2011). Yet, integrated technologies that have been employed by healthcare organisations, to tackle these challenges, have not shown great levels of adoption due to their barriers, like: (a) high costs, (b) resistance to change, (c) organisational issues, (d) high complexity, (e) large scale of change, (f) time consuming implementation and (g) politics.

Consequently, the necessity for integrated HIS in a safer, interoperable and more manageable environment motivated organizations to consider the adoption of paradigms, such as SOA. SOA can be beneficial for a healthcare organisation as it provides interoperability and integration of the legacy HIS, attributes need in modern healthcare organisations.

Nevertheless, despite SOA benefits Heffner (2009) indicates that, 41% of SOA users in the Global 2000 firms believe that: (a) SOA has delivered less benefit than expected, (b) 17% claim they face problems and (c) will not expand SOA use. This reveals that even though SOA is considered a valuable architectural paradigm its application, efficiency and performance are affected by various factors. These statistics indicate that almost half the companies that implement SOA have not figured out how to benefit from their projects. This is attributed to unclear or weak governance planning (Stephens, 2008). In a recent research on the global status of IT governance standards and models (like COBIT, ITIL/ISO20000 etc.) the findings reveal a tendency to adopt such frameworks, but also a lack of a clear "winner" amongst them. For example, amongst 834 business executives, from 21 countries and 10 industries reveal that ISO20000 or ITIL is referred in 28% of them, while COBIT in 12% (ITGI., 2011). Yet, out of the 839 respondents only 10% have been healthcare executives, thus the percentages drop lower regarding their focus on IT governance in healthcare.

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/soa-governance-in-healthcare/146010

Related Content

Temperature-Aware Routing Using Secondary Sink in Wireless Body Area Sensor Network

Sweta Jainand Anurag Singh (2018). *International Journal of E-Health and Medical Communications (pp. 38-58).*

www.irma-international.org/article/temperature-aware-routing-using-secondary-sink-in-wireless-body-area-sensor-network/201547

Pediatric Telepsychiatry as Innovation in Healthcare Delivery

Katherine M. Boydell, Tiziana Volpeand Antonio Pignatiello (2009). *Handbook of Research on Information Technology Management and Clinical Data Administration in Healthcare (pp. 814-826).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/pediatric-telepsychiatry-innovation-healthcare-delivery/35815

Prioritization of Patient Vital Signs Transmission Using Wireless Body Area Networks

Baozhi Chenand Dario Pompili (2012). *E-Healthcare Systems and Wireless Communications: Current and Future Challenges (pp. 257-287).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/prioritization-patient-vital-signs-transmission/60195

Emerging Approaches to Evaluating the Usability of Health Information Systems

Andre W. Kushniruk, Elizabeth M. Borycki, Shige Kuwataand Francis Ho (2008). *Human, Social, and Organizational Aspects of Health Information Systems (pp. 1-22).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/emerging-approaches-evaluating-usability-health/22450

Tablet in the Consultation Room and Physician Satisfaction

Richard Kumi, Iris Reychav, Joseph Azuriand Rajiv Sabherwal (2023). *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics (pp. 1-12).*www.irma-international.org/article/tablet-in-the-consultation-room-and-physician-satisfaction/318445