

Strategic Knowledge Management in Public Organizations

Ari-Veikko Anttiroiko

University of Tampere, Finland

INTRODUCTION

New public management and the more recent concept of new public governance have become the dominant management doctrines in the public sector. Public organizations have become increasingly network-like units with various governance relations with actors from the public, business, and voluntary sectors. Their organization is based more on networks than on traditional hierarchies, accompanied by a transition from the command-and-control type of management to initiate-and-coordinate type of governance.

Among the most critical factors in this transformation is knowledge, for most of what has happened has increased the overall demand to create and process knowledge, and to utilize it in the performance of governmental functions. The success of public organizations depends increasingly on how efficiently they utilize their knowledge assets and manage their knowledge processes in adjusting to local and contextual changes, as illustrated in Figure 1 (cf. Gupta, Sharma, & Hsu, 2004, p. 3; Skyrme, 1999, p. 34, Fletcher, 2003, pp. 82-83). This requires that special attention be paid to strategic knowledge management.

In the early organization theories of public administration, knowledge was predominantly conceptualized within the

internal administrative processes, thus to be conceived of as bureaucratic procedures, rationalization of work processes, identification of administrative functions, and selected aspects of formal decision making. New perspectives emerged after World War II in the form of strategic planning and new management doctrines. The lesson learned from strategic thinking is that we need information on the external environment and changes therein in order to be able to adapt to and create new opportunities from these changes (see Ansoff, 1979; Bryson, 1995). As the complexity in societal life and related organizational interdependency has increased due to globalization and other trends, new challenges of managing organization-environment interaction also emerged (cf. Skyrme, 1999, p. 3).

BACKGROUND

The branch of management doctrine that became known as knowledge management (KM) reflected actual changes and new ideas in the business world. Classic works that inspired later developments included Polanyi (1966) and Drucker (1969). During the 1980s knowledge became widely recognized as a source of competitiveness, and by the end of the 1990s, knowledge management had become a buzzword. Among the best-known thinkers who contributed to the rise of this field are Peter Senge (1990), Ikujiro Nonaka and Hirotaka Takeuchi (1995), Karl-Erik Sveiby (1997), and Thomas A. Stewart (1997). (For more on the evolution of knowledge management, see Barclay & Murray, 1997; Gupta et al., 2004, pp. 8-10.) It is becoming common understanding that in essence *knowledge management* is about governing the creation, dissemination, and utilization of knowledge in organizations (Gupta et al., 2004, p. 4; Lehaney, Clarke, Coakes, & Jack, 2004, p. 13).

Knowledge cannot be managed in the traditional sense of management. The processing and distribution of information can surely be managed, but it is only one part of the picture. The other concerns knowledge and especially managers' ability to create conditions which stimulate active and dynamic knowledge creation, learning, and knowledge sharing within the organization (e.g. Nonaka, Toyama, & Konno, 2000). To systematize this picture we may say that knowledge management includes four core areas (cf. Gupta et al., 2004; Lehaney et al., 2004):

Figure 1. The public organization as an institutional mediator (adopted from Anttiroiko, 2002, p. 272)



- *Information Management*: Managing data and information, and designing information and knowledge systems
- *Intellectual Capital Management*: Creating and utilizing knowledge assets, innovations, and intellectual capital.
- *Knowledge Process Management*: Organizing, facilitating, and utilizing sense-making and other knowledge processes.
- *Organizational Learning*: Creating learning and knowledge sharing environments and practices.

Traditionally the most widely applied areas of knowledge management in public organizations used to be data and transaction processing systems, and management information systems serving mainly internal administrative functions. Yet, since the 1980s authorities started to facilitate the exchange of information by local area networks, followed by the Internet revolution of the 1990s. In the early 2000s the knowledge management agenda has focused increasingly on knowledge sharing and learning, and in inter-organizational network and partnership relations (e.g., Wright & Taylor, 2003). As reported by OECD (2003, p. 4), knowledge management ranks high on the management agenda of the great majority of central government organizations across OECD member countries, followed with some time lag by regional and local authorities. Many public organizations have even developed their own KM strategies. The leading countries in this respect include France, Sweden, Finland, and Canada (OECD, 2003, pp. 28-29).

As for more operational actions, there has been a wave of intranet projects at all levels of public administration since the late 1990s. The result is that some 90% of state agencies surveyed by OECD in the early 2000s had their intranets in place. Sectors that really stand out as being well above the OECD average include organizations in charge of finance and budget, of justice, and of trade and industry (OECD, 2003, pp. 20-30). Intranet projects in the public sector aim at creating an Internet-based computer network to securely share information or operations between politicians, administrators, and other employees. Extranet extends such a network outside the organization—that is, to users, partners, service providers, and other stakeholders. Many public organizations in different countries and at different institutional levels have set up such extranet and intranet projects. For example, New York City established in the early 2000s the Human Services Extranet (later renamed the Integrated Human Services Project) to link the city agencies with human service contractors. Similarly, in 2003 the Queensland Government, Australia, established a project aimed at enhancing the effectiveness of e-government service delivery, which was supported by a government-wide extranet. Such projects have been numerous in the public sector since the early 2000s, indicating a transition from information management towards genuine

knowledge management. Yet, it is equally true that many public organizations have been slow to embrace knowledge management and knowledge technologies.

FOCUSING ON THE STRATEGIC ASPECT

Combining strategic thinking with knowledge management brings us to the very core of the life of organizations. *Strategic knowledge management* is a set of theories and guidelines that provides tools for managing an organization's knowledge assets and processes of strategic importance for the purpose of achieving organizational goals. It is in this sense about the development of an organization-wide knowledge management capability (Katsoulakos & Rutherford, 2005). The basic idea of strategic knowledge management in the public sector is to ensure that public organizations are capable of high performance by utilizing knowledge assets and knowledge processes when interacting with their environment.

What is essential in strategic knowledge management is that it needs to be 'strategic' in the true sense of the word, as opposed to 'operational'. Public employees sometimes have a tendency to view their knowledge requirements from the point of view of their current work practices. At an organizational level, too, there is sometimes a temptation to map out the future on the basis of current strengths and well-defined short-term challenges. The strategic approach to knowledge aims to overcome such inertia and narrow perspectives by creative knowledge processes, which help to transform views from introspective to outward-looking, from resources to outcomes, and from formal duties to actual impacts and customer satisfaction.

In the knowledge management literature, knowledge has primarily been approached either as an object or a process (cf. Sveiby, 2001). The main focus of public organizations is on knowledge processes framed by certain institutional arrangements. Among the most important of these are the political dimension and democratic control and legally defined functions, competencies, and procedures within territorially defined jurisdictions (for more on KM in the public sector, see e.g. BSI, 2005). This theme will be discussed next.

FACILITATING STRATEGIC KNOWLEDGE PROCESSES

Public organizations possess and process a huge amount of information in their internal operations and external exchange relations. This is why the most important function of their knowledge management practice is to manage knowledge processes and to support knowledge-sharing practices.

4 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/strategic-knowledge-management-public-organizations/14111

Related Content

Data Analytics in Small and Medium Enterprises (SME): A Systematic Review and Future Research Directions

Shikha Bhardwaj (2022). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/data-analytics-in-small-and-medium-enterprises-sme/291691

The Role of Place: Tasmanian Insights on ICT and Regional Development

Dean Steerand Paul Turner (2008). *Information Communication Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1494-1505).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/role-place-tasmanian-insights-ict/22752

Implementation of a Personnel Management System "Beaufort": Successes and Failures at a Dutch Hospital

Tatyana V. Bondarouk (2004). *Annals of Cases on Information Technology: Volume 6* (pp. 352-369).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementation-personnel-management-system-beaufort/44586

Online Catalogue of Manuscripts Conserved in Libraries in the Veneto Region

Barbara Vanin (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Communication Technology* (pp. 631-636).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-catalogue-manuscripts-conserved-libraries/13415

Selecting Success Criteria for Customer Solution Projects

Ville Otra-Aho (2017). *International Journal of Information Technology Project Management* (pp. 17-29).

www.irma-international.org/article/selecting-success-criteria-for-customer-solution-projects/187159