Chapter 43

Is Political Participation Online Effective?

A Case Study of the E-Democracy Initiative Conducted by the Brazilian Chamber of Deputies

Patrícia G. C. Rossini Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

Rousiley C. M. Maia Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

ABSTRACT

The Brazilian Chamber of Deputies (Câmara dos Deputados) conducts an e-democracy initiative that enables people to participate in political decisions regarding legislation. "Portal E-Democracia" is the name of this website in which people can participate in several different ways to speak their minds regarding legislative activities. This chapter analyses the effectiveness of citizens' engagement in the e-democracy initiative through the case study of the discussion of the Internet Civilian Landmark – a bill to regulate Internet use in Brazil. The authors analyse two types of participation: comments to the draft bill and suggestions. To measure the effectiveness of user-participation in such a case of collaborative lawmaking, the authors compare the content of the first draft, the final draft, and the suggestions made through the wikilegis in order to assess whether the discussions maintained within the e-democracy platform were or were not taken into account. This procedure also reveals to what extent online discussion was able to reach political decision-makers and effectively change the Internet's Bill of Rights.

INTRODUCTION

The growing presence of organized civil society's actors and stakeholders and the participation of governmental and non-governmental institutions in the Internet motivate a debate of possible effects

of such phenomenon in various political instances, such as participation, democratic governance and citizenship. Within this framework, the Internet's democratic potential is constantly thematized as well as questioned (Chadwick, 2009; Coleman; Moss, 2012; Coleman; Blumler, 2009; Dahlberg,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-9461-3.ch043

2007; 2011; Maia, Gomes, Marques, 2011). However, a huge gap keeps at bay the opportunities offered by virtual environments and an effective strengthening of relations between elected representatives and those they represent. The reason for this arm's length reality is that online political participation depends not only on the citizens being willing to participate but also on politicians and governments being open to recommendations and guidance flowing in from online popular participation. In this context, it is relevant to ask how effective is virtual engagement vis-à-vis institutional tools of political participation.

To address such issue, this chapter seeks to analyze the efficacy of virtual participation in *Portal E-Democracia*, a tool for civil society participation in political decision-making. This is an innovative landmark project, created in 2007 by the Federal House of Representatives in Brazil. It seeks to widen and deepen popular involvement in issues debated by their elected federal representatives.

This chapter dwells on virtual engagement on the *E-Democracia* portal, and focus on the Case Study of the bill of law of the Brazilian Internet Civilian Landmark, whose goal is to regulate Internet use in the country. To grasp how sizable is the gap between opportunities for virtual participation and effective citizenry influence on political decision-making, we analyzed to what extent political participation through a virtual platform was able to actually affect the discussion of the bill of law in the Brazilian House of Representatives.

Brazil is among the top countries investing in democratic innovations (Avritzer, 2009; Goodin, 2008; Coelho, et al. 2005; Smith, 2009), a major example of participatory-budget adoptions which have, more recently, integrated virtual stages (Peixoto, 2009; Sampaio, Maia & Marques, 2010). In this sense, it is important to highlight that the initial first draft of the Brazilian Internet Civilian Landmark, that seeks to regulate Internet use in the country, is the end result of a popular consul-

tation process stage, involving various sectors of society in the discussion of issues to be addressed in regulating Internet use in the country (Sampaio, Nicolás & Bragatto, 2013; Steibel, 2012). Even though virtual democracy initiatives run into many barriers (social, economic, cultural), affecting participation (Coleman; Blumler, 2009), the Internet Civilian Landmark case can be is regarded as a successful initiative of grassroots civilian participation in decision-making processes.

Despite Brazil's tradition of fostering popular participation, one must carefully analyze any sweeping generalized adoption of participative tools that, at first sight, might suggest that the political realm would be willing to listen to what civil society has to say. Possibilities of institutionalized online participation are many and varied, but our research is driven by the following questioning: Is online political participation effective? Is a virtual engagement in institutional tools of political participation (in the Portal E-Democracia) capable of influencing political decision-making?

This study is less focused on the deliberative stage of the online discussion of the Internet Civilian Landmark (in a Forum and Chat Rooms designed for this purpose); it rather focuses its attention on the efficacy of user participation in the process. Put in more precise terms, we pay attention to the opportunities to act and influence the collaborative process of discussing the bill of law, since we seek to verify whether or not the legislative committee was open to popular demands regarding Internet regulation.

This chapter surveys the contribution of recent studies on virtual participation and the adoption of digital tools by different spheres of institutionalized politics, in order to contextualize our research. We next lay out the methodological procedures applied to the two participative stages of the *wikilegis* tool, namely: comments and suggestions. In a subsequent section, the results and conclusions of this paper are presented. The study argues that the legislative branch can benefit from

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/is-political-participation-online-effective/140003

Related Content

Harnessing the Demographic Dividend in Africa Through Lessons From East Asia's Experience Ehizuelen Michael Mitchell Omoruyi (2021). *Journal of Comparative Asian Development (pp. 1-38)*. www.irma-international.org/article/harnessing-the-demographic-dividend-in-africa-through-lessons-from-east-asias-experience/279130

Teaching Science Diplomacy at University Programs for Latin America

Luisa F. Echeverría King, Rafael Piñeros-Ayala, Olisney De Luque-Montaño, Alejandra Fabiola Flores-Zamora, Branislav Pantoviand Pedro Figueroa (2023). *Global Science's Cooperation Opportunities, Challenges, and Good Practices (pp. 165-189).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/teaching-science-diplomacy-at-university-programs-for-latin-america/327189

Movement Control Order (MCO) - A Viable Legal Mechanism in the Management of COVID-19 Pandemic in Malaysia?

Suzana Muhamad Said, Aini Aman, Mohd Rohaizat Hassanand Omkar Dastane (2022). *Journal of Comparative Asian Development (pp. 1-15)*.

www.irma-international.org/article/movement-control-order-mco---a-viable-legal-mechanism-in-the-management-of-covid-19-pandemic-in-malaysia/315650

The Crossroads that Were Never Meant to Be: An Account of Promises and Challenges in an Orphan's Empowerment Journey

Oitshepile MmaB Modiseand Rebecca Nthogo Lekoko (2015). Cases on Grassroots Campaigns for Community Empowerment and Social Change (pp. 226-242).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-crossroads-that-were-never-meant-to-be/129660

Deconstructing "Federal Might" as an Electoral Phenomenon in Nigeria

Charles Ekpoand Stephen A. Faleti (2023). *Insights and Explorations in Democracy, Political Unrest, and Propaganda in Elections (pp. 227-248).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/deconstructing-federal-might-as-an-electoral-phenomenon-in-nigeria/326374