

Chapter 12

The Crowdsourcing Scheme as an Innovative Management Tool in University Spin-Offs

Katarzyna Kopeć

Tischner European University in Krakow, Poland

Anna Szopa

Jagiellonian University, Poland

ABSTRACT

Crowdsourcing is an emerging technique adopted by companies in the process of innovation. It can be also adopted by university spin-offs that play the significant role in the process of knowledge and technology transfer. In recent years, they have been researched from diverse perspectives. However, there are hardly any studies based on university spin-offs that have implemented crowdsourcing. This chapter presents how crowdsourcing business model might be applied to develop a university spin-off. The paper explains the rationale behind the adaptation of crowdsourcing by innovation-driven spin-offs. One overarching question of the chapter is “Can crowdsourcing be well adopted by university spin-offs?” Our study will open new paths for research and discussion, and its results will be supportive for decision making in the context of innovation and entrepreneurship. The logic of this paper is to speculate how university spin-offs can create value with the groups of online users through adopting crowdsourcing in its operation.

INTRODUCTION

The creation of new companies from university, or the process of ‘spinning-off’, has received attention from a range of perspectives in recent years. They are not only to innovate, but also contribute to the productivity and creativity of a university (Hayter, 2013). The effects of the activity of academic spin-offs are well known in stories of the origin of the Silicon Valley and more recently in the biotechnology and IT industry (Chiesa& Piccaluga, 2000). The role of particular universities, such as Stanford and MIT in the USA or Cambridge in the UK, in these processes is also well documented (Rosenberg& Nelson, 1994).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8487-4.ch012

There is no doubt that spin-offs are seen as a valid means of knowledge and technology transfer under certain conditions. However, there are several aspects of university spin-off companies that have not been widely canvassed. It is relatively rare to find case studies of spin-offs that have implemented the crowdsourcing business model. As Thomas Allen and Rory O'Shea (2014, p. 34-35) state there are six primary research groups focusing on the determinants of the spin-off activity within a university context (from 1 to 4) and on the consequences of spin-off activity (5 and 6), that is,

1. The attributes and the personality characteristics of academic entrepreneurs.
2. The resource endowments and capabilities of the university.
3. University structures and policies facilitating commercialization.
4. Environmental factors influencing academic entrepreneurship.
5. The development and performance of spin-offs.
6. Studies that measure the economic impact of spin-offs on regional economies.

Nowadays, information and creativity replaces material as the dominant currency, where stages such as product design, development and production are no longer solely the creation of business but can now be activated and accomplished by the crowd. Though it is hard to tell whether this revolution will ever enable individuals to completely overthrow large multinational corporations, however our analysis will help to assess the possible use of crowdsourcing in the spin-off environment. A key question guiding the paper includes: Can crowdsourcing be well adopted by university spin-offs? Our study will open new paths for research and discussion, and its results will be supportive for decision making in the context of innovation and entrepreneurship. The logic of this paper is to speculate how university spin-offs can create value with groups of customers and users via the web through adopting crowdsourcing in its operation.

BACKGROUND

The term crowdsourcing has developed on the intersection of various disciplines (e.g. management, economy, sociology). It is therefore not a coherent term. The idea of outsourcing a task to the web-based community closely relates to other concepts in the social sciences such as prosumerism (Toffler, 1980), user-innovation (von Hippel, 1988), open-innovation (Chesbrough, 2003), co-creation (Prahalad and Ramaswamy, 2004). These terms, however, overlap with the crowdsourcing term (Brabham 2013; Estellés-Arolas & González-Ladrón-de-Guevara, 2012). In consequence, scholar discourse mixes with popular media discourse which indicates the dynamic increase in the popularity of that approach in all possible contexts.

The term accentuates a novel business model to solve problems by leveraging the “collective intelligence” (Lévy, 1997) of crowds in which a major communication platform is based on the web. Crowdsourcing refers to situations where an organisation (*a crowdsourcer*) announces openly via the web a task to be fulfilled by any web user (*a crowdworker*) who can voluntarily submit his or her proposal to face an issue. In fact, the task could be undertaken by staff of an organisation, however, it might be of great importance to leverage the creativity of external groups of web users or enthusiasts of a field.

Brabham points out the following features that differentiate crowdsourcing from similar creativity-based processes: top-down management, shared process of bottom-up creativity, locus of control with regards to the creation process between an organization and the public. It is important to notice that the

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-crowdsourcing-scheme-as-an-innovative-management-tool-in-university-spin-offs/138101

Related Content

E-Governance and Social Inclusion of Entrepreneurship and Businesses: Toward the Social Inclusive Digital Society

Olga Tikhomirova (2020). *International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation* (pp. 1-25).

www.irma-international.org/article/e-governance-and-social-inclusion-of-entrepreneurship-and-businesses/253872

The Advent of Social Entrepreneurship in Saudi Arabia: Empirical Evidence from Selected Social Initiatives

Wassim J. Aloulou (2017). *Entrepreneurship: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1486-1510).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-advent-of-social-entrepreneurship-in-saudi-arabia/179720

Assessing Knowledge Assets in Renewable Energy SMEs in Scotland: A Methodological Framework

Antonio Lerro, Giovanni Schiuma, Robert Huggins, Daniel Prokopand Maria Weir (2012). *Knowledge Management and Drivers of Innovation in Services Industries* (pp. 55-66).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/assessing-knowledge-assets-renewable-energy/65248

Strategic Media Entrepreneurship: Theory Development and Problematicization

Sven-Ove Horstand Paul Clemens Murschetz (2019). *Journal of Media Management and Entrepreneurship* (pp. 1-26).

www.irma-international.org/article/strategic-media-entrepreneurship/220047

Connecting Social Enterprise and Higher Education: Universities as Drivers in the Support of Social Enterprises in the United Kingdom

Sara Calvo (2016). *Handbook of Research on Entrepreneurial Success and its Impact on Regional Development* (pp. 600-630).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/connecting-social-enterprise-and-higher-education/141428