

A Classification of Approaches to Web-Enhanced Learning

Jane E. Klobas

*University of Western Australia, Australia
Bocconi University, Italy*

Stefano Renzi

*Bocconi University, Italy
University of Western Australia, Australia*

INTRODUCTION

The World Wide Web has become a mature platform for the support of learning at universities. Several patterns have emerged, both in the nature of use, and in understanding the conditions associated with successful adoption and application of web-enhanced learning (WEL). This article summarizes, in the form of nine scenarios, the ways in which the Internet is being used to enhance learning in traditional universities. It also discusses the changes needed if universities are to benefit more widely from WEL.

BACKGROUND


The Web is used by universities to make courses available to students who are distant from campus (*distance learning*, DL) and to enhance learning by students who attend courses on-campus (*web-enhanced learning*, WEL). Universities may be classified on the basis of the modes of learning that

they offer. *Virtual universities* offer access to courses by DL only. Traditional, or *campus-based universities*, offer courses that are based on formal lessons held in classrooms or laboratories (*classroom-based learning*, CBL), but may also offer courses by DL, or *flexible learning* (FL), a combination of DL and CBL.

WEL is the use of the Web to enhance CBL in traditional universities. WEL provides students studying in the classroom with access to electronic resources and learning activities that would not be available to them in traditional classroom-based study. The simplest forms of WEL provide access to the Web from within the classroom, using the Web as a platform for real-time demonstration or as a digital library. More sophisticated forms of WEL blend activities in the classroom with Web-enabled learning activities that promote collaborative learning among students, even when they are distant from the classroom.

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between the modes of learning offered by universities. WEL is represented as that portion of CBL that uses the Web to enhance learning.

Figure 1. The relationship between Web-enhanced learning (WEL) and other modes



When it is used to blend in-classroom and out-of-classroom activities, WEL shares the characteristics of DL and FL.

WEL differs from flexible learning in that the focus of the lesson remains the traditional classroom. With FL, classroom-based learning is mixed with learning at a distance. In the most common form of FL, *distributed learning* (also known as *blended learning* or *mixed mode learning*), students participate in formal lessons both in the classroom and at a distance, according to a schedule prepared by the instructor. Some flexible learning may be enhanced by use of the Web, for example, to provide discussion forums in which students studying at a distance and in the classroom may participate together, but use of the Web is not necessary for flexible learning.

This article is concerned with integration of online learning and classroom-based learning to achieve effective and manageable WEL for campus-based students. The focus is on change across a university system rather than in an individual classroom. We argue that WEL adds the most value when it is used to enable new forms of learning, and in particular, online collaborative learning by students working at a distance from the classroom as well as within it (Rudestam & Schoenholtz-Read, 2002). This value can

only be obtained through attention at the institutional level to the organizational transformation required to implement, support, and sustain WEL (Bates, 2000).

WEL SCENARIOS

Nine distinct scenarios for use of WEL can be identified (Table 1, based on Klobas & Renzi, 2003). They can be divided into four groups: *information provision* scenarios, in which the Web is used to provide information to students and others outside the classroom; *classroom resource* scenarios, in which the Web is used to extend the classroom, either by providing access to resources in the classroom or by enabling lessons to be broadcast outside the classroom; *interactive learning* scenarios, which range from interactive virtual classrooms to the use of the Web to support collaborative learning among students working at a distance; and an *experimental* scenario, in which the Web is used to experiment with technology and pedagogy in ways not envisaged by the preceding scenarios. Any or all of the scenarios may be used alone or in combination, in a single course or by a single university.

Table 1. A hierarchy of WEL use scenarios

Scenario	Label	Use
INFORMATION PROVISION SCENARIOS		
1	Catalog	Provision of static, and primarily logistic, information about the course
2	Notice Board	Distribution of course materials in electronic form
3	Class Resource	Provision of additional materials and references in response to student and teacher experience in the course as it progresses
CLASSROOM RESOURCE SCENARIOS		
4	Classroom Resource	Use of the Web for demonstration or as a digital library during classroom sessions
5	Streaming Video	Broadcast of classroom sessions
INTERACTIVE LEARNING SCENARIOS		
6	Virtual Classroom	Synchronous interactive classroom sessions that include video and voice communication among instructors and students
7	Interactive Web	An interactive environment outside the classroom
8	CSCL	Computer Supported Collaborative Learning
EXPERIMENTAL SCENARIO		
9	Experimental	An experimental environment for innovative use of the Web

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/classification-approaches-web-enhanced-learning/13626

Related Content

Information Technology & FDA Compliance in the Pharmaceutical Industry

Raymond Papp (2003). *Annals of Cases on Information Technology: Volume 5* (pp. 262-273).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-technology-fda-compliance-pharmaceutical/44546

K

(2007). *Dictionary of Information Science and Technology* (pp. 379-399).

www.irma-international.org/chapter//119572

Risk Management of ERP Projects in Manufacturing SMEs

Päivi Iskanius (2010). *Information Resources Management Journal* (pp. 60-75).

www.irma-international.org/article/risk-management-erp-projects-manufacturing/43721

Technical Risk Management

Pete Hylton (2009). *Handbook of Research on Technology Project Management, Planning, and Operations* (pp. 283-294).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technical-risk-management/21639

Project Management and Scheduling through National Project Management Phases in Government Construction Agencies

Jesper Kranker Larsen, Thomas Ditlev Brunoe, Søren Munch Lindhard and Kim Noergaard Jensen (2017).

International Journal of Information Technology Project Management (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/project-management-and-scheduling-through-national-project-management-phases-in-government-construction-agencies/169827