Chapter 6 Application-Enabled Collaborative Networking

Tirumaleswar Reddy Cisco Systems, India

Prashanth Patil

Cisco Systems, India

Anca Zamfir Independent Researcher, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

Identification and treatment of application flows are important to many application providers and network operators. They often rely on these capabilities to deploy and/or support a wide range of applications. These applications generate flows that may have specific characteristics such as bandwidth or latency that can be met if made known to the network. Historically, this functionality has been implemented to the extent possible using heuristics that inspect and infer flow characteristics. Heuristics may be based on port numbers, network identifiers (e.g., subnets or VLANs, Deep Flow Inspection (DFI), or Deep Packet Inspection (DPI)). However, many application flows in current usages are dynamic, adaptive, time-bound, encrypted, peer-to-peer (P2P), asymmetric, used on multipurpose devices, and/ or have different priorities depending on the direction of the flow, user preferences, and other factors. Any combination of these properties renders heuristic-based techniques less effective and may result in compromises to application security or user privacy. Application-enabled collaborative networking (AECN) is a framework in which applications explicitly signal their flow characteristics and requirements to the network. This provides network nodes with knowledge of the application flow characteristics, which enables them to apply the correct flow treatment and provide feedback to applications accordingly. This chapter describes how an application enabled collaborative network contributes to solve the encountered problems.

INTRODUCTION

Networks today, whether public or private, are challenged by demands to support rapidly increasing amounts of traffic. New channels for originating and consuming rich media are deployed at a rapid pace. Pervasive video and access on demand are becoming second nature to consumers. Applications make extensive use of rich media, placing unprecedented quality of experience (QoE)

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8371-6.ch006

demands on the underlying network. These trends present challenges for network forecast and planning operations.

Now more so than ever before, identification and differential treatment of flows are critical for the successful deployment and operation of applications. These applications use a wide range of signaling protocols and are deployed by a diverse set of application providers that are not necessarily affiliated with the network providers across which the applications are used.

Historically, identification of application flows has been accomplished using heuristics that infer flow characteristics based on port ranges, network separation, or inspection of the flow itself. Inspection techniques include:

- Deep packet inspection (DPI), which matches against characteristic signatures (e.g., key string, binary sequence).
- Deep flow inspection (DFI), which analyzes statistical characteristics (e.g., packet length statistics like ratio of small packets, ratio of large packets, small payload standard deviation) and connection behavior of flows.

Each of these techniques suffers from limitations, particularly in the face of the challenges outlined previously.

Heuristic-based approaches may not be efficient and require continuous updates of application signatures. Port-based solutions suffer from port overloading and inconsistent port usage. Network separation techniques like IP sub-netting are error prone and increase network management complexity. DPI and DFI are computationally expensive, prone to error, and become more challenging with greater adoption of encrypted signaling and secured media. An additional drawback of DPI and DFI is that any insights developed at one network node are not available, or need to be recomputed, at nodes further down the application flow path.

The goal of the Application Enabled Collaborative Networking (AECN) framework is to offer mechanisms that allow applications to request differential network treatment for their flows and to learn what the network can do for them while preserving flow encryption practices. The intent is for the applications to have the ability to initiate information exchanges in order to provide a more precise allocation of network resources and thus a better user experience, while ensuring security for the flow data. The underlying logic is that a network that is prepared in advance with applications flow treatment requirements will select and enable the appropriate means to offer the differentiated forwarding and traffic management behaviors matching those requirements. Typical requirements clauses are described in Boucadair, M., Jacquenet, C. & Wang., N. (2014).

Background

Evidently, media bandwidth requirements always depend on the service being used. Common services like e-mail require less bandwidth. By contrast, other services such as cloud-hosted virtualized desktops can place heavy per-user demands on an Internet connection, especially in deployments with high resolution desktops or multimedia. Some tasks can be highly variable. Cloud storage services, whether straightforward file sharing such as Box and Dropbox or more complex document management such as Share-Point, end up using a variable amount of bandwidth. Photographs and video files can be huge and uploading these resources could consume a fair amount of the available bandwidth, creating problems like congestion, especially problematic on shared connection. Perhaps the biggest consumer of bandwidth in recent times has been the use of real time video and audio over the Internet.

On top of bandwidth, latency considerations are also very important. Some applications, such as e-mail, are latency insensitive. Real-time appli16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/application-enabled-collaborative-

networking/131362

Related Content

Remote Control for Videoconferencing

Marcia Perryand Deborah Agarwal (2002). *Interactive Multimedia Systems (pp. 219-230)*. www.irma-international.org/chapter/remote-control-videoconferencing/24575

Determinants of Response to Intrusive Advertisement on Mobile Applications by Undergraduate Students

Janet O. Adekannbiand Emmanuel Abiokuta (2020). International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies (pp. 26-38).

www.irma-international.org/article/determinants-of-response-to-intrusive-advertisement-on-mobile-applications-byundergraduate-students/275194

Using Interactive Whiteboards to Teach Grammar in the MFL Classroom: A Learner's Perspective

Barbara Bettsworth (2010). Interactive Whiteboards for Education: Theory, Research and Practice (pp. 216-224).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-interactive-whiteboards-teach-grammar/41620

Information and Communication Technologies and Individual Communication Traits

Joycelyn Streator (2022). International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/information-and-communication-technologies-and-individual-communication-traits/312854

Viewing Alone or Together: Linking the Viewing Context for Sexually Explicit Internet Materials to Sex-Related Attitudes

Jihyun Kimand C. Erik Timmerman (2016). *International Journal of Interactive Communication Systems and Technologies (pp. 1-15).*

www.irma-international.org/article/viewing-alone-or-together/191322