

Chapter 1

Literature Review in Conceptions and Approaches to Teaching using Blended Learning

Vicki Caravias

Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a critical review and synthesis of research literature in higher education exploring teachers' conceptions of blended learning and their approaches to both design and teaching. Definitions of blended learning and conceptual frameworks are considered first. Attention is given to Picciano's Blending with Purpose Multimodal framework. This paper builds upon previous research on blended learning and conceptual framework by Picciano (A. Picciano, 2009) by exploring how objectives from Picciano's framework affect teachers' approaches to both design and teaching in face-to-face and online settings. Research results suggest that teachers use multiple approaches including face-to-face methods and online technologies that address the learning needs of a variety of students from different generations, personality types and learning styles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades the integration of Internet and Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) have enhanced knowledge and performance in many university courses (S. Jones, Johnson-Yale, Millermaier, & Pérez, 2008). Within higher education, Kanuka and Kelland (2008) reflect that:

Higher education literature on e-learning technology is replete with research that tinkers with, and then tests the effects of, instrumental practices. The ultimate aim is to determine once and for all, what works and what does not – passing by the questions of why (p.61).

During this time universities have incorporated learning management systems, such as Blackboard and Moodle, into their teaching practices (R. A.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8246-7.ch001

Ellis, Goodyear, Prosser, & O'Hara, 2006; R. A. Ellis, Steed, & Applebee, 2006) to support teachers in delivering material to students. Learning Management Systems (LMS) provide the opportunity to deliver blended learning approaches that combine a mix of ICT with various learning resources and delivery methods. Coates et al. (2005) outline several key features of LMSs:

1. Asynchronous and synchronous communication between teacher-student and student-student (discussion boards, emails, live chats);
2. Content development and delivery (lecture notes, readings, practical activities);
3. Formative and summative assessment (submission of assignments, quizzes, collaborative work feedback, grades);
4. Class and user management (enrolling students, displaying timetable) (p. 20-21).

Coates, James and Baldwin (2005) found that LMS studies focused on the economic and technical issues of LMS usage (p. 26). They are also critical of the "textual nature" of LMSs (p. 27). Similarly, Prendergast (2004) argues:

Too often considerations about information technology have become the dominant factors in many strategies adopted by academic institutions. This has resulted in a rich information technological environment that fails to capture, motivate or retain learners (p.2).

Brabazon (2002) supports this view, by stating that:

Teachers and teaching are being challenged and undermined through the Internet. Learning is not technologically dependent. It is reliant on commitment, interest and passion (p.17).

Early adopters of blended learning argued that there are many possibilities offered by the technologies for Australian educators in higher education (Garrison, Anderson, & Archer, 1999). There are several reasons behind the drive to incorporate ICT into the educational process. First, pressure to utilise ICT at a university level comes from changes in the student demography. According to Concannon, Flynn and Campbell (Concannon, Flynn, & Campbell, 2005) the surge in "full time part time students is a phenomenon of recent years, where school leavers take part-time jobs whilst attending university" (p.502). For students who work full time, the flexible design accommodates their busy schedules. Without this flexibility, the students may not be able to pursue their degrees. Blended learning environments suit students who prefer face-to-face interaction in addition to students who prefer online learning.

Second, blended learning has the potential to promote lifelong learning in higher education (Dzakiria, Wahab, & Rahman, 2012). In their qualitative study, Dzakiria, Wahab and Rahman investigated the learning experiences of a students undertaking studies at University Utara Malaysia. They found that blended learning's "flexibility nature can promote lifelong learning anywhere, and anytime" (p. 299). This is supported by research carried out by Masalela (Masalela, 2009) whose qualitative study examined factors that influenced fifteen faculty members' decision to use blended learning and found that learners become self-directed, develop critical thinking skills and become independent thinkers through blended courses. In addition, develop lifelong skills to use when they leave the university.

Third, changes in the market for delivery of education comes from innovation in new technologies. In the case of University of Central Florida (Dziuban & Moskal, 2001), a three hour classroom instruction was replaced with a two hour online instruction session. The university

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/literature-review-in-conceptions-and-approaches-to-teaching-using-blended-learning/126686

Related Content

Impact of Socioscientific Issues on Middle School Students' Character and Values for Global Citizenship

Wardell A. Powell, Mark H. Newton and Dana L. Zeidler (2021). *Socioscientific Issues-Based Instruction for Scientific Literacy Development* (pp. 56-91).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/impact-of-socioscientific-issues-on-middle-school-students-character-and-values-for-global-citizenship/261672

Blind Students' Challenges in Social Media Communication: An Early Investigation of Facebook Usability for Informal Learning

Rakesh Babu (2015). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 58-73).

www.irma-international.org/article/blind-students-challenges-in-social-media-communication/120665

Learning Objects: A Case Study in Teacher Education

Charlotte J. Boling (2007). *Learning Objects for Instruction: Design and Evaluation* (pp. 195-212).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-objects-case-study-teacher/25539

Production Method of Readable Tactile Map With Vocal Guidance Function for the Visually Impaired

Kouki Doi and Takahiro Nishimura (2019). *Handmade Teaching Materials for Students With Disabilities* (pp. 316-337).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/production-method-of-readable-tactile-map-with-vocal-guidance-function-for-the-visually-impaired/210000

Scaffolding Argumentation in Asynchronous Online Discussion: Using Students' Perceptions to Refine a Design Framework

Hyun Song Kim and Eunjung Grace Oh (2018). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 29-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/scaffolding-argumentation-in-asynchronous-online-discussion/201114