Chapter 94 A Case for Integration: Assessment and Games

Alex Moseley University of Leicester, UK

ABSTRACT

There is growing interest in assessment of student learning within education, not least because assessment practice within some sectors (the UK higher education sector for example) is stagnant: many courses designed independently to the assessment method and assessed through a small number of traditional methods. Games-based learning has shown little deviation from this pattern — games themselves often removed from assessment of the skills they are designed to teach, and in the worst cases from the intended learning outcomes: gamification being a particularly formulaic example. This chapter makes the case for an integrated approach to assessment within learning games and the wider curriculum, drawing on elements within game design that provide natural opportunity for such integration. To demonstrate and evaluate such an approach, integrated assessment case studies (including a full study from the University of Leicester) are presented and discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Assessment and games share a number of features, both in their design and in their tendency to stick to tried and tested methods. In this chapter, these similarities will be discussed in light of the current interest in both assessment and games for learning.

Written from a standpoint within UK Higher Education (HE), the chapter opens with an overview of assessment within this context, and within game design itself, including the recent interest in gamification approaches.

There are various design features within games that provide good models for assessing student progress and learning, and these will be considered in detail and developed into a range of internal and external models for assessment in learning games. The most integrated of these, *implicit assessment*, was used by the author in an undergraduate History games-based course, and this will be used as a case study in applying the models to a real world example.

It is hoped that this chapter will question existing approaches to assessment within learn-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8200-9.ch094

ing games, and encourage the reader to see the advantage in using game elements to integrate assessment deeply within both games-based, and non-games-based learning activities.

BACKGROUND: A LENS ON ASSESSMENT

The recent interest in academic assessment (and its close partner feedback) has developed as governments, institutions and parents focus on the quality of programmes and - in particular - student outcomes. In Higher Education in the UK, the annual National Student Survey (NSS, 2011) has, over the past five years, revealed assessment and feedback as the area students are most dissatisfied with across the sector (in 2009 and 2010 they produced the lowest average score for all areas of student satisfaction: 65 and 67% satisfaction respectively). In UK secondary education, OFSTED reported as far back as 1996 that marking "fails to offer guidance on how work can be improved" and "reinforced under-achievement and under-expectation by being too generous or unfocussed" (cited by Black, Harrison, and Lee in their 2004 review of existing practice, that revealed similar concerns across the sector).

What is assessment in a learning context? The question/subject is too broad to cover in detail here, but within the author's own context, UK Higher Education, the Quality Assurance Agency for UK Higher Education (QAA), in its 2012 Quality Code, defines assessment as fulfilling four roles: promoting learning through feedback; evaluating knowledge, understanding, abilities or skills; establishing student performance/progress via a mark or grade; and publically acknowledging a level of achievement (QAA, 2011, p1).

Rowntree (1987, pp117-162) identifies a number of opposing aspects of assessment, that help to give a sense of both the length and breadth of the topic. These are:

- Formal vs. Informal: Also to be thought of as obtrusive vs. unobtrusive, formal assessments are those developed by the instructor specifically with the aim of assessing (such as an examination); whereas informal assessment occurs naturally as students work/study/practice/perform.
- Formative vs. Summative: Linked closely
 with the role of feedback, formative assessment is that whose main aim is to help the
 student learn and develop; whereas those
 assessments that serve to grade, categorise
 or assess against formal criteria are summative. The two types can be merged in
 one assessment.
- Continuous vs. Terminal: Assessments
 are continual if they take place throughout
 the course; they are terminal if they only
 take place at the end (where they tend to be
 both formal and summative).
- Course Work vs. Examinations: Course work is produced as the student works through the course, yet may be submitted and marked either continuously or terminally; examinations assess the students' knowledge at a particular point of time on a particular topic.
- **Process vs. Product:** Assessments often result in a tangible *product*: a report, essay, painting, website, mathematical solution, etc. Instructors can assess this product, or the process the student goes through to create it (where much of their development occurs).
- **Internal vs. External:** The assessor who also teaches, tutors or assists the students on their course is *internal*; assessors who are divorced from the day to day learning, and simply assess the product or process are *external*.
- Convergent vs. Divergent: Assessments can elicit or reward convergent thinking (ie. thinking that arrives at a single well

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-case-for-integration/126147

Related Content

Play or Vote: Matching Games as New Approach for Design Evaluation in Innovation Contests Jörg B. A. Haller, Katja Hutter, Johann Füllerand Kathrin M. Möslein (2012). *Handbook of Research on Serious Games as Educational, Business and Research Tools (pp. 520-538).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/play-vote-matching-games-new/64271

A Digital Game for Undergraduate Calculus: Immersion, Calculation, and Conceptual Understanding

Yu-Hao Lee, Norah E. Dunbar, Keri Kornelson, Scott N. Wilson, Ryan Ralston, Milos Savic, Sepideh Stewart, Emily Ann Lennox, William Thompsonand Javier Elizondo (2019). *Exploring the Cognitive, Social, Cultural, and Psychological Aspects of Gaming and Simulations (pp. 206-227).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-digital-game-for-undergraduate-calculus/218801

Development of Habitual Behaviour in Online Social Gaming: Understanding the Moderating Role of Network Externality

Nan Jiang, Manmeet Kaur, Mohd Muttaqin Bin Mohd Adnan, Jason James Turnerand See Kwong Goh (2023). *Research Anthology on Game Design, Development, Usage, and Social Impact (pp. 1140-1161).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/development-of-habitual-behaviour-in-online-social-gaming/315533

From Fiction to Reality and Back: Ontology of Ludic Simulations

Ivan Mosca (2013). *International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations (pp. 13-31).* www.irma-international.org/article/from-fiction-to-reality-and-back/79928

Rules of Engagement: Influence of Co-Player Presence on Player Involvement in Digital Games B. J. Gajadhar, Y. A.W. de Kortand W. A. IJsselsteijn (2009). *International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations (pp. 14-27).*

www.irma-international.org/article/rules-engagement-influence-player-presence/3957