

Chapter 48

Solution of “Hard” Knapsack Instances Using Quantum Inspired Evolutionary Algorithm

C. Patvardhan

Dayalbagh Educational Institute, India

Sulabh Bansal

Dayalbagh Educational Institute, India

Anand Srivastav

Institut für Informatik, Universität zu Kiel, Germany

ABSTRACT

Knapsack Problem (KP) is a popular combinatorial optimization problem having application in many technical and economic areas. Several attempts have been made in past to solve the problem. Various exact and non-exact approaches exist to solve KP. Exact algorithms for KP are based on either branch and bound or dynamic programming technique. Heuristics exist which solve KP non-exactly in lesser time. Heuristic approaches do not provide any guarantee regarding the quality of solution whereas exact approaches have high worst case complexities. Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm (QEA) is a subclass of Evolutionary Algorithm, a naturally inspired population based search technique. QEA uses concepts of quantum computing. An engineered Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm (QEA-E), an improved version of QEA, is presented which quickly solves extremely large spanner problem instances (e.g. 290,000 items) that are very difficult for the state of the art exact algorithm as well as the original QEA.

INTRODUCTION

The 0/1 knapsack problem (KP) is a well known combinatorial optimization problem which occurs in several real world decision-making processes such as finding the least wasteful way to cut raw

materials, selection of capital investments and financial portfolios etc. (Kellerer, et al., 2004)

KP in its most basic form is defined as follows: Given a set of n items with their profits p_j and weights w_j , $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the problem is to select a subset of items such that profit is maximized and weight doesn't exceed the capacity C .

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-7456-1.ch048

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Maximize: } \sum_{j=1}^n p_j x_j \\
 &\text{Subject to: } \sum_{j=1}^n w_j x_j \leq C \\
 &\quad x_j \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

Greedy approaches are also popular for solving optimization problems. Some heuristic is used in greedy algorithms to generate a sequence of sub-optimums in less time that hopefully yield results that are close to optimum. One such heuristic chooses the items with as high profit by weight ratio as possible (Kellerer, et al., 2004).

Several attempts have been made to provide algorithms for exact solution of KPs (Martello, et al., 2000). These algorithms are based on branch and bound technique or/and dynamic programming. Dynamic programming derives optimal solutions to specific type of optimization problems whose solutions satisfy the recurrence relations with overlapping sub-problems (Bellman, 1957). Pisinger (1997) presented an effective dynamic programming based pseudo polynomial algorithm named as minknap which is able to solve large size problems speedily (problems of size 10000 items within couple of minutes). Branch and bound techniques construct candidate solutions one component at a time and evaluate the partially constructed solutions. Relaxations and fathoming criteria are used to identify and to eliminate further expansion of partial solutions which would not lead to optimal solutions. The initial branch and bound algorithms for KP reported in 1970s are (Horowitz, et al., 1974; Fayard, et al., 1975; Nauss, 1976; Martello, et al., 1977). This approach makes it possible to solve some large instances of difficult combinatorial problems. However, in the worst case, the algorithms still have exponential complexity. Balas and Zemel (1980) provided an exact algorithm using core concept, combined with some heuristics and branch and bound technique. It is an effective and fast algorithm which solves problems having 10000 items in

few seconds. Other effective algorithm based on core concept and branch and bound technique are presented in Fayard, et al. (1982), Plateau, et al. (1985), and Martello, et al. (1988). The refined core approach is presented in (Pisinger, 1995). Attempts have also been made to combine branch and bound techniques with dynamic programming approach to reduce storage and/or computational requirements. Martello et al. (1999) proposed an algorithm combining dynamic programming with tight bounds and core concept.

Pisinger (2005) presented some types of the hard KP instances which are difficult to solve and also described the way to generate them. A detailed comparison of various effective exact algorithms found in literature on various types of difficult knapsack problem instances is also presented. The most successful algorithm which solves KPs exactly is ‘Combo’ (Martello, et al., 1999; Pisinger, 2005). This algorithm is a combination of many different concepts. Out of all the problem instances presented in Pisinger (2005), the spanner instances are shown as the most difficult, even for Combo, to solve. Combo is able to solve almost all the other KP problems fairly efficiently.

Heuristic approaches do not provide any guarantee regarding the quality of solution whereas exact approaches have high worst case complexities. Evolutionary Algorithms are inspired from nature where better fit beings evolve further through the generations and the least fit become extinct gradually. EAs have become popular as they are useful to obtain practically good solutions or near optimal solutions in reasonable time. They have been applied to many problems including knapsack problems in Spillman (1995), Ezziane (2002), and Mohanty, et al. (2009). Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithms (QEA) (Han, et al., 2002) is a class of EAs which uses concept of quantum computing. QEAs use qubits for probabilistic representation of the individuals (Han, et al., 2002). Several researchers have applied the QEA or its modified forms on knapsack problems.

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/solution-of-hard-knapsack-instances-using-quantum-inspired-evolutionary-algorithm/124541

Related Content

Understanding the Multifactorial Background of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Payal Kapoor and Simran Sunil Khutarkar (2024). *Biomedical Research Developments for Improved Healthcare* (pp. 273-287).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-the-multifactorial-background-of-attention-deficithyperactivity-disorder/341072

Modeling and Analyzing Trellis-Coded Modulation on Power Line Communication Systems

Ali Hosseinpour and Reza Montasari (2022). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 1-10).

www.irma-international.org/article/modeling-and-analyzing-trellis-coded-modulation-on-power-line-communication-systems/292443

Socially Responsible, Transformative Leadership for STEM Graduates

Geraldine Torrisi-Steele and Gervase Tuxworth (2022). *Handbook of Research on Educational Leadership and Research Methodology* (pp. 151-161).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/socially-responsible-transformative-leadership-for-stem-graduates/310596

A Code of Our Own: Making Meaning Queerly

Mark Vicars (2017). *Methods and Paradigms in Education Research* (pp. 191-204).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-code-of-our-own/170995

The Value of Communication in Agile Project Management

Brian J. Galli (2021). *International Journal of Strategic Engineering* (pp. 39-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-value-of-communication-in-agile-project-management/279645