

# A Scenario-Based Instructional Design Model

Neal Shambaugh

*West Virginia University, USA*

## INTRODUCTION

Instructional design models address important issues of learning, content, and context during the development of instruction. The prescriptive premise behind instructional design is that if an instructional design is followed, the learning outcomes identified in the design will occur. As one evaluates the extent to which learners achieve learning outcomes, changes in the instructional design may be warranted. Documenting these changes provides designers and users of the model with feedback on its efficiency and effectiveness. Despite these attributes, the merits of instructional design have not been achieved in some settings, and some users, including teachers and product developers, are looking elsewhere for instructional development guidance. But should they? The premise of this chapter is to propose a scenario-based ID model that addresses a major shortcoming of instructional design; namely, the gap between formative design decisions and design review. Scenarios are used to keep people designing, reflecting, redesigning.

## BACKGROUND

Instructional design has been criticized as being too prescriptive, taking too long to use, and not being appropriate to specific design tasks. Early generations of ID models attempted to depict one approach to address all instructional problems (see Tennyson, 1995, for a generational history). Some of these linear, step-by-step cycles and flow charts helped to understand the ID process and were suitable for teaching instructional design (Dick, Carey, & Carey, 2005; Morrison, Ross, & Kemp, 2004), while others provided procedural guidance to instructional development (Gagné, Briggs, & Wager, 1992; Tripp & Bichelmeyer, 1990; U.S. Air Force, 1999). Some models were aimed at teachers, particularly providing procedures to develop instructional materials (Gerlach & Ely, 1980; Heinich, Molenda, Russell, & Smaldino,

1999). More recent approaches (Tennyson, 1997) have attempted to model the complexity of instructional development using a more iterative, nonlinear approach.

All of these approaches presents a challenge to instructors of ID. Visiting each phase of ID in a linear fashion appears appropriate for novices in a course setting. However, students come to view ID as a linear activity, which starts and ends. Being that ID is depicted as a problem-solving process, the process becomes a set of steps that begins with a problem. Action is taken to solve the problem. The intensity of the problem is lessened; consequently, there is less action to solve the problem, but the problem remains (Fritz, 1989). A circular representation (Morrison et al., 2004) helps to alleviate this linear process, but newcomers ask: "Where does one start?" The circular view is more akin to artists who implicitly have a process that imagines possibilities; imaginations are brought into reality, inducing the next creation. In the top-down view, the process ends, while in the creating view the process continues. Sustaining the process, whether creating or designing, appears valuable.

Carroll, Kellogg, and Rosson (1991) depict a circular task-artifact cycle in software development in which tasks suggest requirements for new artifacts. Designed artifacts then suggest new possibilities and redefined tasks. The main feature here is that human activity drives the process. However, an underlying issue is that design decisions have consequences. How much time and resources should be committed to a decision? With a decision, one commits resources and is likely to remain committed to this option. The challenge is not to shut down the consideration of possibilities prematurely and deny candidate approaches a fair appraisal. One representation of instructional design borrowed from computer programming is rapid prototyping. Design an early version with just enough resources, then test the initial version with users, and revise based on user performance and suggestions. Rapid prototyping, however, requires a good "first guess," as one commits to a choice and

subsequent investment of resources. The result is not an iterative process but more of a spiraling-down process.

Another feature of development work, involving teams of designers, users, and developers, involves the use of periodic or benchmark reviews. These may be limited to specific technical features of the work without appraising the overall potential of the design to address user needs. Here design reviews stop design. The review focuses on features and functions rather than on potential use. Similarly in ID instruction, reflective critique of students' ID decisions is frequently removed from design activity. In classroom settings in which ID is being taught, students typically hand in design work and make revisions based on instructor feedback. This traditional form of instruction distances students from thinking about responsive design decisions, those that directly impact learners. Student thinking concentrates on instructor feedback rather than focusing on learner needs.

The purpose behind the analysis component in instructional design is to give designers sufficient information to make a "first guess." With ongoing information gathering, data collecting, and other analysis or needs assessment activities, more informed design decisions can be made as one develops instructional materials. In general, people want to move to a solution in light of existing experience (Simon, 1996). However, students in ID courses resist analysis activity unless required. Left to their own devices, meaning their skills and experiences, students will move quickly to a design solution and are likely to proceed directly to an option they have in mind.

Thus, thinking about the implications of one's design decisions is an important activity (Rowland, Parra, & Basnet, 1994). Schön (1983) observed that design reflection is frequently separated in time from design activity. Depending on the instructional development process used by a teacher, designer, or consultant, significant time may pass between a design decision and a design review. As is common in a college course, usually several days or a week may pass before a student receives feedback from an instructor. A challenge for an instructor is to help students keep their decision making moving forward, but in the context of thinking and reflecting on these decisions given existing information. Scenarios are used to address this de-coupling of reflection from

design. A scenario-based instructional design model (SBID) is described, one variation for newcomers to ID and a second variation for ID practitioners.

## **THE SCENARIO-BASED INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN MODEL**

Scenarios are typically used as written case studies, simulations, or a set of options developed by others to serve as teaching or decision-making tools (Schwartz, 1996). Within the SBID, scenarios are user developed, rather than supplied. Carroll (2000) characterizes scenarios as "condensed descriptions" of proposed solutions to instructional needs. Scenarios involve discussions and written descriptions of individual or group decisions. Discussion raises merits and identifies issues and constraints from which participants make improved choices. Outside information can inform the subsequent decisions, but the flow of decisions occurs within a continual cycle of communication. Carroll, who uses scenarios in computer system development, acknowledges that scenarios are rich and concrete, but incomplete. However, scenarios allow "immediate immersion in realistic domain activities" (p. 150). Scenario descriptions tap existing knowledge, and because descriptions are brief and quickly constructed, revisions are possible.

### **The SBID Model for Learning ID**

The SBID model uses the ADDIE components to systematically address important educational issues, such as learning outcomes, assessment, and teaching options (see Figure 1). Scenario activity occurs within each phase of ID, so the scenario approach could be used in variations of the ADDIE model, depending on how one teaches the course. In addition to the traditional ADDIE components, a context stage is suggested in which individual beliefs about teaching and learning are discussed, as well as different ID models (Shambaugh & Magliaro, 2001). Although *externally developed* scenarios or case studies can be used by an instructor to depict different types of instructional problems and responses (Ertmer & Quinn, 2003), *student-developed* scenarios have individual students or groups suggest a response to an instructional problem. Options are written down, discussed,

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/scenario-based-instructional-design-model/12319](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/scenario-based-instructional-design-model/12319)

## Related Content

---

### Remote Access to Scientific Laboratory Equipment and Competency-Based Approach to Science and Technology Education

M.I. Mazuritskiy, S.A. Safontsev, B.G. Konoplev and A.M. Boldyreva (2014). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 19-33).

[www.irma-international.org/article/remote-access-to-scientific-laboratory-equipment-and-competency-based-approach-to-science-and-technology-education/117274](http://www.irma-international.org/article/remote-access-to-scientific-laboratory-equipment-and-competency-based-approach-to-science-and-technology-education/117274)

### Trends in Podcast Download Frequency Over Time, Podcast Use, and Digital Literacy in Foreign Language and Literature Courses

M'hammed Abdous, Betty Rose Facer and Cherng-Jyh Yen (2015). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 15-33).

[www.irma-international.org/article/trends-in-podcast-download-frequency-over-time-podcast-use-and-digital-literacy-in-foreign-language-and-literature-courses/128425](http://www.irma-international.org/article/trends-in-podcast-download-frequency-over-time-podcast-use-and-digital-literacy-in-foreign-language-and-literature-courses/128425)

### Web Conferencing in Distance Education

M. Michelle Panton (2005). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning* (pp. 1997-2003).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-conferencing-distance-education/12383](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-conferencing-distance-education/12383)

### Identification of a Distance Education Expert

Simber Atay (2015). *Identification, Evaluation, and Perceptions of Distance Education Experts* (pp. 62-72).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/identification-of-a-distance-education-expert/125405](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/identification-of-a-distance-education-expert/125405)

### Inquiry into Turkey's Educational Technology, Governance, Situational Educational Policy: An Analysis

Hüseyin Tolu (2018). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 60-71).

[www.irma-international.org/article/inquiry-into-turkeys-educational-technology-governance-situational-educational-policy/200988](http://www.irma-international.org/article/inquiry-into-turkeys-educational-technology-governance-situational-educational-policy/200988)