Online Learning Programs

Judith V. Boettcher
University of Florida, USA

INTRODUCTION

The importance of design for online instructional programs increases with the potential combinations of students, student goals, content, skills to be acquired and the teaching and learning environments.

Instructional design, as a profession and a process, has been developing over the last 50 years. It is a multidisciplinary profession combining knowledge of the learning process, humans as learners, and the characteristics of the environments for teaching and learning. The theorists providing the philosophical bases for this knowledge include Dewey (1933); Bruner (1963); and Pinker (1997). The theorists providing the educational and research bases include Vygotsky (1962), Knowles (1980); Shank (1996); and the collective work of the How People Learn project—Bransford, Brown and Cocking (1999).

Instructional design offers a structured approach to analyzing an instructional problem and creating a design for meeting the instructional content and skill needs of a population of learners, usually within a specific period of time and within an institutional programmatic structure. An instructional design theory is a "theory that offers explicit guidance on how to better help people learn and develop." (Reigeluth, 1999, p. 5).

BACKGROUND

This article describes a multi-level design process for online learning programs that builds on a philosophical base grounded in learning theory, instructional design and the principles of the process of change. This design model builds on traditional instructional design principles, as described by Gagne (1965), Dick and Carey (1989), and Moore and Kearsley (1996); integrates the strategic planning principles and the structure of the institutional context as described in Kaufman (1992) and Boettcher and Kumar (1999); and also integrates the principles of technological innovation and the processes of change as described by Rogers (1995) and Rosenbloom (1998).

This approach to designing online learning is a six-level design process promoting congruency and consistency at the institution, infrastructure, program, course, activity and assessment level. A set of principles and questions derived from that framework then guides the instructional design process.

SIX LEVELS OF DESIGN

Effective instructional design for online and distance learning benefits from instructional planning at six levels. Figure 1 summarizes these six levels of

Figure 1. Six levels of design for learning

Six Levels of Design	Design Responsibility	Sponsor/Leader	Design and Review Cycle
Institution	Entire campus leadership and community	Provost, CIO and vice presidents	3-5 Years
Infrastructure	Campus and technology staff	Provost, CIO and vice presidents	2-3 Years
Degree, Program	College/deans/faculty	Dean and chairs	1-3 Years
Course	Faculty	Department chair	1-2 Years
Unit/Learning Activity	Faculty	Faculty and/or faculty team	1-2 Years
Student Assessment	Faculty	Faculty and/or faculty team	1-2 Years

design and identifies the group or individuals usually responsible for the design at that level and the length of the design cycle at each level. Ideally, the design at each of these six levels reflects philosophies of teaching and learning that are consistent with the institutional mission and with the expectations of the students and society being served.

Level One: Institutional Design

The design work to be done at an institutional level is similar to the strategic planning and positioning of an institution. Institutional planning generally begins with an institution's current vision and mission statements, and then proceeds through a data collection and input process that addresses a set of questions such as the following:

- What programs and services comprise our primary mission? For whom?
- To what societal needs and goals is our institution attempting to respond?
- What life goals are most of our students working to achieve?
- What changes in our infrastructure are recommended to match our desired services, programs and students?
- Does our institution have any special core competencies, resources or missions that are unique regionally or nationally that might form the basis for specialized online programs? What are the strengths of our mature faculty? Of our young faculty?

Level Two: Infrastructure Design

People often think that buildings, classrooms, Web applications, communication services and servers are neutral in their effect on teaching and learning. Nothing could be more misleading. Design of the infrastructure includes design of all the elements of the environment that impact the teaching and learning experiences of faculty and students and the staff supporting these experiences. It includes design of the following:

- Student services, faculty services and learning resources.
- Design of administrative services, including admission processes, financial processes and institutional community life events.
- Design of physical spaces for *program launching* events; hands-on, lab or network *gathering* events; and *celebratory* graduation events.

Physical and Digital Plants

Infrastructure design for online programs focuses on the design of the network and Web infrastructure. Infrastructures for online learning have offices, classrooms, libraries and gathering spaces for the delivery and management of learning and teaching. However, these offices and classrooms are accessed through Web services rather than through physical buildings. The good news about online infrastructures is that they support an unparalleled new responsiveness, feedback and access for learning activities.

Early in the movement towards online learning, it was thought that online campuses required little infrastructure. We now know that reliable and effective online learning environments require and depend on a sophisticated "digital plant" infrastructure. This digital plant—similar to the traditional physical plant—needs to be planned, built, maintained and staffed. The infrastructure to support the new programs cannot be done with what some have called "budget dust" (McCredie, 2000). Some experts suggest that a "full implementation of a plan for technology support on campus "cost about the same as support of a library—approximately 5% of the education and general budget" (Brown, 2000).

Components of a Digital Infrastructure

What exactly is a digital plant infrastructure? One way of describing this infrastructure is to think of it in four major categories: personal communication tools, networks, hardware for servers and software applications. A key component of the digital infrastructure is the group of individuals who make the systems work. This digital plant is shown in Figure 2 (Boettcher & Kumar, 2000).

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/online-learning-programs/12286

Related Content

Enhancing Students' Online Experiences: Best Educational Practices Unveiled by the Mouse in the Presence of a Cat

Maria Pavlis Korres (2021). *Handbook of Research on Inequities in Online Education During Global Crises (pp. 420-446).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/enhancing-students-online-experiences/278487

Technology Integration into Pre-service Teacher Training

Anne Koch, Misook Heoand Joseph C. Kush (2012). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/technology-integration-into-pre-service/61385

An e-Learning Collaborative Filtering Approach to Suggest Problems to Solve in Programming Online Judges

Raciel Yera Toledoand Yailé Caballero Mota (2014). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies (pp. 51-65).*

www.irma-international.org/article/an-e-learning-collaborative-filtering-approach-to-suggest-problems-to-solve-in-programming-online-judges/113979

Learning Experiences in Developing Electronic Portfolios

Shuyan Wangand Sandra Turner (2006). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education (pp. 75-86).*

www.irma-international.org/article/learning-experiences-developing-electronic-portfolios/2295

Second Life: A Novice/Expert Teaching and Learning Tale

Yvonne Mastersand Sue Gregory (2013). *Outlooks and Opportunities in Blended and Distance Learning (pp. 204-218).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/second-life-novice-expert-teaching/78407