Change Management and Distance Education

Parviz Partow-Navid

California State University, Los Angeles, USA

Ludwig Slusky

California State University, Los Angeles, USA

INTRODUCTION

E-learning is defined as the transmission of knowledge whereby the instructor and/or the student participate in the learning process from different places and/or different times (Henry, 2001). Many organizations have adopted e-learning as a way to make the learning process faster and better (Roshan, 2002). However, recent studies have revealed that about 85% of students participating in e-learning and distance education fall short of completing their program. Low completion leads to low retention, which leads to low performance (Land, 2002). The problem, exacerbated by rapid changes in information technology (IT), lies on the shoulder of the universities and the students. For universities, e-learning often is such a giant technological and managerial change that the faculty attempts to deal with it by scaling instructions down to merely automated text lectures with a primary focus on the delivery of instructional materials, rather than addressing the students' needs. For students, e-learning is usually a short experience coupled with little-known technologies for which they need extra guidance and support that is more persistent. However, the challenge is how to employ this new technology and bring students the help they need when they need it (Gordon, 2003; Roberts, 2001).

With constant changes in IT, higher education institutions are experiencing volatility and uncertainty in instructional methods. E-learning is the first to encounter these changes in IT. Rather than becoming overwhelmed and defensive, universities and colleges must implement strategies to aggressively respond to and sustain these changes. In reality, however, higher education institutions are often ill-prepared for proactive management of changes and are resorting to reactive, defensive responses to the IT changes, focusing on the situational procedural objectives rather than on the strategic educational goals (Austin, 2003). Introducing e-learning involves a shift in culture and requires a change in management (Faden, 2000). Resistance to technology originates mainly from a fear of risk. IT impact is most obvious in elearning. This chapter discusses how to overcome this resistance, principally in distance learning.

CHANGE MANAGEMENT

Change is the driving force of progress, but peoples' reactions to change are often irrational and defensive. Thus, change management is an essential part of business evolution and advancement. According to Fred Nickols (2004), change management should focus on three issues:

- 1. The task of managing change is internal but usually triggered by external factors. Changes prompted by implementation of e-learning practices must be anticipated in advance. However, universities often do not have any planned responses.
- 2. E-learning is a highly specialized customeroriented business that requires methodological support; one important aspect of such support is to have a planned change management.
- 3. A body of knowledge needs to have content and process. It is one thing, for instance, to introduce e-learning courses for professional advancement or continuing education in a corporate center or at a Continuing Education division. It is quite another to introduce a linked set of elearning courses with prerequisites in an online university. It is yet again a different one to introduce a blended e-learning and traditional curriculum with prerequisite courses being either online or of the traditional type.

Copyright © 2005, Idea Group Inc., distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI is prohibited.

Generally, the scope of organizational change may vary from organization-wide to a narrow departmental or group level. Examples of organizationwide changes include modification of the organization's mission, restructuring of operations, and introduction of new technologies and programs. E-learning should be viewed as an organization-wide transformation.

Change should not be introduced for the sake of change—it is crucial to plan to achieve some overall goal. Usually, the most significant change in the way a higher education institution operates is motivation factors, such as substantial cuts or infusion of funding, technological innovations, actions by competitors and the need for dramatic increases in services.

Change in curriculum will inevitably demand from the faculty a different style of instruction. Faculty training will be needed to overcome a lack of technical skills. Student services must be expanded to support e-learning as an experience equivalent to on-campus courses. Due to e-learning's heavy dependency on technology, organized IT training and support for students are extremely important. Off-loading such support from the university IT help desk to instructors is a major deterrent for instructors who consider teaching online classes. The issues of copyright of one's work and fair use of published materials that belong to others are challenging to online instructors, and may require updating of university policies and procedures. Above all, a change in the organizational culture is required when a vision and plan for the implementation of e-learning is realized. The all-toofamiliar attributes of this process include a systematic approach to planning of organizational changes, faculty involvement, learning and adaptation to the new technologies, and shift of resources.

As we mentioned earlier, introduction of change is typically met with strong resistance. Many of the faculty and staff may believe that the current situation is just fine and do not identify with the need for change. Others are intrinsically skeptical about change. Some doubt that there are sufficient resources to accomplish major organizational change. Often, there are conflicting goals in the institutions; for example, increasing resources to deal with the change, yet concurrently cutting costs to remain viable. Organization-wide change frequently is in opposition to the values cherished by members of the institution; that is, the change may contradict the faculty and staff's thoughts of how things should be done. That is why much of organizational change literature discusses the changes needed in the culture of the organization, including changes in the members' values and beliefs, and in the way they enact these values and beliefs (McNamara, 2004).

STRATEGIC OVERVIEW

Strategic overview starts from the e-learning vision statement and a mission statement defined separately from the university's overall vision and mission statements. To a large degree, e-learning strategic view is shaped by up-and-coming technologies. University administrators should envision the opportunities and challenges inherent in these changes and translate effectively into both planning and development of a long-term strategy for managing e-learning business.

Many of these IT-driven changes have been already affecting day-to-day operations of educational institutions, but there is still a widespread lack of realization among administrative ranks of how comprehensive the imminent technological shifts and strategic challenges are. Strategic planning, which shows mapping technology trends into various online educational processes, will help provide a better understanding of this technological transformation in e-learning (Canton, 1999).

The higher education mega-trends affecting elearning are as follows (Butler, 2003):

- 1. Fundamental switch towards anywhere, anytime, transparent computing based on global networks will make highly interactive courses of the future more convenient and entertaining than in-class courses.
- 2. Distance education enrollments offering both convenience and speed are soaring.
- 3. Profit opportunities in e-learning are beginning to attract corporations and entrepreneurs who compete with universities in educating corporate employees: It takes fewer on-the-job lost hours and speeds up graduation.
- 4. Adult students are the fastest-growing educational demographic group, significantly affecting the educational culture of universities; they prefer part-time studies, demand more time

4 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/change-management-distance-education/12109

Related Content

An Assessment of the Impact of a Collaborative Didactic Approach and Students' Background in Teaching Computer Animation

Andrea Sannaand Fabrizio Valpreda (2017). International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-assessment-of-the-impact-of-a-collaborative-didactic-approach-and-students-background-inteaching-computer-animation/187016

Constructing Technology Integrated Activities that Engage Elementary Students in Learning

Diane L. Judd (2009). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning, Second Edition (pp. 411-417).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/constructing-technology-integrated-activities-engage/11789

Market vs. Academic Models

Gary A. Berg (2005). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning (pp. 1272-1274).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/market-academic-models/12267

Information Retrieval in Virtual Universities

Juha Puustjarviand Päivi Poyry (2005). International Journal of Distance Education Technologies (pp. 6-18). www.irma-international.org/article/information-retrieval-virtual-universities/1661

A SCORM Compliant Courseware Authoring Tool for Supporting Pervasive Learning

Te-Hua Wangand Flora Chia-I Chang (2007). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies (pp. 67-90).* www.irma-international.org/article/scorm-compliant-courseware-authoring-tool/1709