

Chapter 12

To MOOC or not to MOOC?

A Case Study of Norway

Cathrine Tømte

Nordic Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research, and Education (NIFU), Norway

Arne Fevolden

Nordic Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research, and Education (NIFU), Norway

Dorothy Sutherland Olsen

Nordic Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research, and Education (NIFU), Norway

ABSTRACT

Inspired by examples in the US and Europe, many Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Norway are exploring how they can use Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC) and other technologies to shape the classrooms of the future. This chapter briefly reviews expectations of MOOCs including both xMOOCs and cMOOCs and what they might do for higher education in a national context. Thereafter, it considers the development of MOOCs in relation to theories of disruptive technologies and national adoptions and/or adjustments to MOOCs. In this, the authors examine how Norwegian educational institutions are utilizing digital technology to support various solutions of online learning to address educational challenges. This approach is relevant as it serves as an example of how countries around the world explore the new possibilities that come with the MOOCs and other ubiquitous technologies and how they relate these to their existing organization of higher education.

INTRODUCTION

“To MOOC or not to MOOC¹” was the heading of a posting on the web page of the Norwegian Business School (BI) this winter. Inspired by examples in the US and Europe (Allen & Seaman, 2011; OECD, 2012; Bacow, Bowen, Guthrie, Lack, & Long, 2012) many higher education institutions

(HEIs) in Norway are now exploring how they can use ‘Massive Open Online Courses’ (MOOC) and other technologies to shape the classrooms of the future. Online learning is not particularly new; for example every university in the U.S. offers one or more online courses (Anderson, Boyle, & Rainie, 2012), and we are witnessing a similar trend in Norway (Ministry of Education, 2013) The novelty

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-6154-7.ch012

of MOOCs is that they provide a technological platform allowing large numbers of students to follow the same course online and that everyone can become a student. Most MOOCs currently offer free, high quality education developed at leading U.S. universities (Gaebel, 2013). Wikipedia states that MOOC as a term was first used by Dave Comier and Bryan Alexander in 2008 and that it stems from initiatives from Open Educational Resources. Moreover, efforts to conceptualize what MOOC stands for have differentiated between two groups of MOOCs; namely “cMOOCs” and “xMOOCs” (ibid). The first enhances personal learning through interaction with a distributed network of peers; the second emphasis individual learning. Conole states that xMOOCs combine content delivered by prestigious institutions such as Harvard and Stanford, with the technology that enables the distribution and mass participation, offered by companies such as Audacity, EdX and Coursera (Conole, 2013).

This chapter briefly reviews expectations of MOOC’s including both xMOOCs and cMOOCs, and what they might do for higher education in a national context, namely Norway. Thereafter it will consider the development of MOOCs in relation to theories of disruptive technologies and national adoptions and/or adjustments to MOOCs. In this, we will examine how Norwegian educational institutions are utilizing digital technology to support various solutions of online learning to address educational challenges. This approach is relevant as it serves as an example of how countries around the world explore the new possibilities that come with the MOOCs and other ubiquitous technologies and how they relate these to their existing organization of higher education.

RELEVANCE AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

When the Pew Research Centre asked 1,021 technology experts and technology stakeholders in the U.S. how they envisioned the future impact of the Internet in higher education, most agreed that market forces would exert pressure on universities to expand online education—creating hybrid learning environments, a movement towards “lifelong learning” models and new forms of credentialing structures, by the year 2020. The technology experts were, however, divided on whether such developments would lead to better educational outcomes (Anderson, Boyle, & Rainie, 2012).

These approaches include proprietary technologies such as systems for massive open online courses (MOOCs), “traditional”/“old” technologies that support online learning, such as learning management platforms, as well as more ubiquitous technologies such as social media.

There seems to be a shift in how the Information- and Communication Technology (ICT) and education issues are approached; moving away from thinking about how ICT might support education towards thinking about how ICT might change education (Granberg, 2011). In this respect, MOOCs might be a driver of change. Bearing this in mind, the chapter aims to illuminate the following questions:

- In which ways do Norwegian higher education institutions make use of technologies that support online learning?
- What are their experiences and thoughts about online learning?
- What are the prospects on future teaching and learning with online learning?

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/to-mooc-or-not-to-mooc/111645

Related Content

E-Learning System's Acceptance: A Comparative Study

Emad Abu-Shanab (2014). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/article/e-learning-systems-acceptance/120732

Taming Procrastination: Origins, Manifestations, and Solutions for the Online Instructor

Barbara Green, Teresa Marie Kelly, Stephanie Thompson and Josef Vice (2021). *Curriculum Development and Online Instruction for the 21st Century* (pp. 323-341).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/taming-procrastination/284700

Improvement Method of College Students' Physical Training Decision-Making Based on Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process

Jinsong Tan and Anh Tuan Hoang (2024). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/improvement-method-of-college-students-physical-training-decision-making-based-on-fuzzy-analytic-hierarchy-process/338217

Feature Extraction of Dialogue Text Based on Big Data and Machine Learning

Xuelin Liu, Hua Zhang and Yue Cheng (2024). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/feature-extraction-of-dialogue-text-based-on-big-data-and-machine-learning/337602

Inclusive Frameworks in Online STEM Teaching and Learning

Stephany Jane Veuger, Diane Butler, Peter Wood and Andrew Potter (2023). *Handbook of Research on Innovative Frameworks and Inclusive Models for Online Learning* (pp. 28-51).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/inclusive-frameworks-in-online-stem-teaching-and-learning/329179